### ERF Working Papers Series

## Water Scarcity and Improved Water Use Efficiency in Tunisia: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis

Maha Mili, Mohamed Adel Dhif and Houyem Chekki

# WATER SCARCITY AND IMPROVED WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN TUNISIA: A COMPUTABLE GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS

Maha Mili, <sup>1</sup> Mohamed Adel Dhif<sup>2</sup> and Houyem Chekki<sup>3</sup>

#### Working Paper No. 1798

#### October 2025

This work was sponsored by the Economic Research Forum (ERF) and has benefited from both financial and intellectual support. It was originally presented during the ERF 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on "Youth, Demographic Dividend and Migration in MENA: Challenges and Opportunities in Uncertain Times", April 27-29, 2025.

#### **Send correspondence to:**

Maha Mili University of Carthage maha.mili@ept.ucar.tn

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'E'conomie et de Gestion Industrielle, E'cole Polytechnique de Tunisie (LEGI -EPT), Universit'e de Carthage, B.P. 743 - 2078 La Marsa, Tunisie. Facult'e de Sciences Economiques et de Gestion de Nabeul (FSEGN), Universit'e de Carthage.

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire d'E'conomie et de Gestion Industrielle, E'cole Polytechnique de Tunisie (LEGI - EPT), Universit'e de Carthage, B.P. 743 - 2078 La Marsa, Tunisie. Facult'e de Sciences Economiques et de Gestion de Nabeul (FSEGN), Universit'e de Carthage.

<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire d'E'conomie et de Gestion Industrielle, E'cole Polytechnique de Tunisie (LEGI -EPT), Universit'e de Carthage, B.P. 743 - 2078 La Marsa, Tunisie. Institut Sup'erieur de Comptabilit'e et d'Administration des Entreprises (ISCAE), Universit'e de la Manouba.

First published in 2025 by The Economic Research Forum (ERF) 21 Al-Sad Al-Aaly Street Dokki, Giza Egypt www.erf.org.eg

Copyright © The Economic Research Forum, 2025

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without permission in writing from the publisher.

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this publication are entirely those of the author(s) and should not be attributed to the Economic Research Forum, members of its Board of Trustees, or its donors.

#### Abstract

Under global warming conditions, projections from the Tunisian National Institute of Meteorology indicate that Tunisia will face decreased annual rainfall and increased demand for water resources in the coming years. This research aims to quantify the potential impacts of water scarcity on the Tunisian economy, with a particular focus on the agricultural sector, which is crucial for food security and economic stability. Using a recursive dynamic Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model, we analyze the effects of four water-related hypotheses on GDP growth, social welfare, and trade balance by 2050. Then, we simulate three specific water use efficiency (WUE) scenarios—rehabilitation of water network infrastructure, modernization of irrigation systems, and the use of plant varieties with less water requirements—to assess their effectiveness in mitigating water scarcity. The simulation results reveal that declining water availability significantly hampers agricultural production, adversely affecting the food processing sector and exacerbating food security concerns. These limitations lead to an increased trade balance deficit and a projected decline in GDP by 2050. In contrast, improvements in WUE partially alleviate these impacts by enhancing agricultural productivity, reducing imports, and boosting exports, which collectively improve the trade balance and stimulate GDP growth. The findings underscore the urgent need for practical actions to conserve water resources and highlight the importance of negotiating trade agreements that prioritize low-water-requiring products while managing the import of more waterintensive goods.

**Keywords:** Water scarcity, Climate change, Water use efficiency, Agricultural productivity, Food security, Virtual water trade, CGE modeling

JEL Classifications: Q5, Q2.

#### ملخص

في ظل ظروف الاحتباس الحراري، تشير توقعات المعهد الوطني التونسي للأرصاد الجوبة إلى أن تونس ستواجه انخفاضًا سنوبًا في هطول الأمطار وزيادة في الطلب على موارد المياه في السنوات القادمة. يهدف هذا البحث إلى تحديد الآثار المحتملة لندرة الَّمياه على الاقتصاد التونسي، مع التركيز بشكل خاصُّ على القطاع الزراعي، وهو قطاع بالغ الأهمية للأمن الغذائي والاستقرار الاقتصادي. باستخدام نموذَّج التوازن العام الحسابي الديناميكي المتكرر (CGE)، نقوم بتحليل آثار أربع فرضيات متعلقة بالمياه على نمو الناتج المحلى الإجمالي، والرعاية الأجتماعية، والميزان التجاري بحلول عام 2050. بعد ذلك، نقوم بمحاكاة ثلاثة سيناربوهات محددة لكفاءة استخدام المياه (WUE) - إعادة تأهيل البنية التحتية لشبكة المياه، وتحديث أنظمة الري، واستخدام أُصناف نباتية ذات متطلبات مائية أقل - لتقييم فعاليتها في التخفيف من ندرة المياه. تكشف نتائج المحاكاة أن انخفاض توافر المياه يعيق الإنتاج الزراعي بشكل كبير، مما يؤثر سلبًا على قطاع تصنيع الأغذية ويفاقم مخاوف الأمن الغذائي. تؤدى هذه القيود إلى زبادة عجز الميزان التجاري وانخفاض متوقع في الناتج المحلى الإجمالي بحلول عام 2050. في المقابل، تُخفف التحسينات في كفاءة استخدام المياه جزئيًا من هذه الآثار من خلال تعزيز الإِنتاجية الزراعية، وخفض الواردات، وتعزيز الصادرات، مما يُحسّن الميزان التجاري ويُحفّز نمو الناتج المحلى الإجمالي. تُؤكد النتائج الحاجة المُلحة إلى اتخاذ إجراءات عملية للحفاظ على موارد المياه، وتُسلّط الضوء على أهمية التفاوض على اتّفاقيات تجاربة تُعطى الأولوبة للمنتجات منخفضة الاستهلاك للمياه، مع إدارة استيراد السلع الأكثر استهلاكًا للمياه.

#### 1. Introduction

The unrestrained growth of greenhouse gas emissions is increasing global temperatures, leading to irregular rainfall patterns and more extreme weather events. These climatic changes significantly impact water resource availability, particularly within the agricultural sector, which is highly vulnerable to climate variability. Rising temperatures increase evaporation, thus reducing water supply while simultaneously boosting demand, especially from agriculture. As a result, crop yields are projected to decline, posing risks to food security and agricultural markets (IPCC, 2023).

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Sixth Assessment Report (IPCC, 2023), the Mediterranean region is expected to experience a temperature increase 20 percent higher than the global average by the 21st century. This rise, combined with anticipated declines in annual rainfall, poses serious risks to crop production, especially in Maghreb countries, where agricultural output could decrease by approximately 64 percent. In Tunisia, projections from the National Institute of Meteorology (INM, 2015) indicate that the country will face both rising temperatures and decreasing rainfall, resulting in an imbalance between water supply and demand.

Tunisia's agricultural sector is the largest consumer of water, accounting for 75 to 80 percent of total water withdrawals (MAGRHP, 2023b). Despite this, many farmers continue to use inefficient irrigation practices, leading to considerable water losses. Inefficiencies in water use are further exacerbated by waste within outdated and poorly maintained infrastructure, with water losses estimated at around 40 percent in irrigation networks (MAGRHP, 2020). These inefficiencies lead to water wastage through evaporation, soil degradation, and over-irrigation. Studies such as Yilmaz et al. (2023) suggest that changing climate conditions will further compromise both the quality and quantity of Tunisia's water resources, with projected annual average yield declines of two percent for tomatoes and dates, 0.5 percent for olives, and 0.2 percent for wheat from 2021 to 2050. These reductions in agricultural output not only threaten long-term food security but are also likely to disrupt Tunisia's trade balance, increasing reliance on imports while reducing exports.

The primary objective of this research is to investigate how freshwater resource shortages, worsened by climate change impacts on annual rainfall, could affect Tunisia's economy. Specifically, this study addresses the following questions: How do shortages in water resource availability impact Tunisia's economic growth? To what extent could efficient water use mitigate the adverse effects of projected water scarcity on the Tunisian economy?

To address these questions, we use the AGWA-TUN, a recursive dynamic Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model tailored to Tunisia. This model enables a variety of simulations of the Tunisian economy under different water shortage scenarios, allowing for an evaluation of the economic implications of limited water availability. We also explore how improving water use

efficiency (WUE) in the agricultural sector could alleviate the negative impacts of water constraints in Tunisia.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes water issues in Tunisia, while section 3 reviews empirical literature on the agriculture-water-food nexus using CGE models. Section 4 outlines the structure and calibration of the AGWA-TUN model, and section 5 presents the main scenarios considered in this research. Section 6 discusses the simulation results. Finally, section 7 summarizes the findings and provides recommendations.

#### 2. Water issues in Tunisia

Tunisia is characterized by limited and unequally distributed water resources, which vary annually and regionally. The over-exploitation of these resources has become a structural problem, placing considerable pressure on water mobilization (CCNUCC, 2023). Water scarcity is further exacerbated by the country's growing population and the impacts of climate change, which are projected to significantly reduce both rainfall and water availability. Recent studies indicate that pressure on water resources will intensify by 2050, positioning Tunisia as one of the most water-stressed countries in the Mediterranean region (MAGRHP, 2023a).

Despite investments in water mobilization and transfer infrastructure, water availability has declined significantly over recent decades due to dam siltation, over-exploitation of aquifers, and inefficient water management. Both the progressive siltation of dams and over-exploitation of aquifers have decreased mobilization capacity, thus reducing Tunisia's overall water supply. In 2021, large dams experienced an average siltation<sup>4</sup> rate of 23 percent, resulting in an annual loss of 675 Mm3 from an initial capacity of 2,988 Mm3 (MAGRHP, 2021). Current trends show groundwater levels depleting faster than they are replenished, further compounding the issue.

Water demand in Tunisia is primarily driven by irrigation and potable water needs. Within sectoral water demand, the agricultural sector remains the largest consumer, accounting for 75 to 80 percent of total water withdrawals annually (MAGRHP, 2023b). However, outdated irrigation systems and infrastructure inefficiencies lead to substantial water losses, particularly in agricultural areas.

The water sector in Tunisia faces several critical challenges, especially due to climate change. In recent years, significant warming trends have made Tunisia one of the Mediterranean countries most vulnerable to climate change (CCDR, 2023). Projections from the National Institute of Meteorology (INM, 2015) estimate a five to 10 percent reduction in total annual rainfall by 2050 under the RCP 4.5 scenario. In the worst-case RCP 8.5 scenario, rainfall could decrease by up to

<sup>4</sup> Siltation is the process by which soil particles are eroded, transported by flowing water or other means, and deposited as layers of solid particles in water infrastructure, particularly dams. Siltation presents a significant challenge in mobilizing water resources in Tunisia (ONAGRI, 2021).

14 percent, further disrupting water availability. Rising temperatures, coupled with declining rainfall, are expected to intensify land erosion, soil degradation, and desertification. Additionally, rising sea levels due to climate variability pose serious risks to Tunisia's coastal regions, leading to further erosion and loss of fertile agricultural land. These climate impacts are likely to disrupt Tunisia's water supply-demand balance, creating a cycle of declining agricultural productivity and increased water stress.

Agricultural productivity in Tunisia is already under threat, especially for staple crops. According to projections under the RCP 8.5 scenario by Yilmaz et al. (2023), Tunisia's main crops are expected to suffer significant losses, with barley and wheat production anticipated to decline annually by 0.9 percent and 0.2 percent, respectively, by 2050. Such decreases will exacerbate food security concerns, as Tunisia will need to import more food to meet domestic demand, increasing dependency on international markets and driving up food prices.

In terms of Tunisia's major agricultural exports, Yilmaz et al. (2023) project similar declines for key export crops like tomatoes, dates, and olives, which are vital to Tunisia's agricultural sector and export revenues. Tomatoes and dates are expected to experience an annual decline of two percent, while olive production may fall by 0.5 percent by 2050. These declines could severely impact Tunisia's trade balance and exacerbate existing economic challenges.

A related issue is the concept of virtual water exports. Tunisia exports many water-intensive agricultural products, such as olives and tomatoes, which deplete the country's already limited water resources. As noted by Benalaya et al. (2017), exporting such water-intensive products increases Tunisia's virtual water footprint, placing additional strain on water resources. Given the rising demand from both domestic and export markets, urgent action is needed to improve water management efficiencies and prevent further depletion of Tunisia's water supplies.

#### 3. Assessing the economic impacts of water scarcity using CGE models

This section reviews previous studies on the economic impacts of climate change and water scarcity, particularly in agricultural and trade contexts, showing the role of policy instruments aimed at improving water use efficiency for sustainable development. The economic effects of climate change have garnered significant attention, highlighting the need for effective policymaking to mitigate socioeconomic impacts (Ahmadi et al., 2022). Agriculture, due to its heavy reliance on water resources and vulnerability to fluctuations in temperature and rainfall, is especially susceptible to these impacts (Ingram et al., 2012; Cui and Xie, 2022; Malhi et al., 2021). The literature increasingly documents the detrimental effects of climate change on GDP, growth, and employment (Salami et al., 2009; Roson and Van der Mensbrugghe, 2012; Al-Riffai et al., 2012; Khalili et al., 2021), revealing that climate-induced disruptions disproportionately affect developing economies.

For instance, Salami et al. (2009) report that severe droughts could reduce agricultural value-added by approximately 30 percent, leading to GDP declines of nearly four percent. These impacts are particularly pronounced in developing nations; Roson and Van der Mensbrugghe (2012) find that climate variations significantly affect GDP in such regions, exacerbating poverty and food insecurity. In India, Udmale et al. (2015) observe that climate-induced droughts reduce crop yields and livestock production, leading to decreased income and limited unskilled employment opportunities. Similarly, Siddig et al. (2020) demonstrate that extreme climate impacts on Sudan's GDP intensified vulnerabilities among low-income households.

Expanding this context, Shahpari et al. (2023) examine climate impacts on Iran's agricultural sector using a CGE model, simulating production reductions of six, 12, and 18 percent, which resulted in potential GDP declines of 3.7 percent to 6.3 percent. They highlight significant labor migration from agriculture as rural incomes shrink, projecting worsened food insecurity and a trend of converting farmland to non-agricultural uses. The authors suggest that Iran could mitigate these impacts through investments in water-efficient irrigation, climate-adapted crops, and improved water resource management.

To address climate change-induced water scarcity, CGE models are often employed to evaluate the effectiveness of various water-use policies. Strategies such as water pricing, taxes, subsidies, public investment in water infrastructure, and direct improvements in water efficiency have been assessed for their potential to conserve water and reduce economic disruptions. Studies by Rivers and Groves (2013) and Zhao et al. (2016) indicate that water pricing reforms can effectively reduce water use and enhance efficiency. For example, Rivers and Groves (2013) assess water pricing in Canada, showing that higher prices lead to reduced consumption. Similarly, Zhao et al. (2016) find that increased water prices in China result in significant water savings and improved usage efficiency. Furthermore, Berrittella et al. (2008b) and Qin et al. (2012) examine water taxes in China, revealing that such policies influence the economic structure by shifting production patterns to conserve water.

Investments in desalination and wastewater treatment have also been explored as solutions to water scarcity. Luckmann et al. (2014) and Briand et al. (2023) report positive economic outcomes from such investments. Luckmann et al. (2014) show that desalination increases water availability in Israel, although high costs limit its economic benefits, underscoring efficient water use as a more cost-effective solution. Briand et al. (2023) demonstrate that combining desalination with wastewater reuse in South Africa enhance GDP and reduce unemployment.

Given that agriculture is a major water consumer, enhancing irrigation efficiency is essential. Calzadilla et al. (2011), Taheripour et al. (2020a), and Taheripour et al. (2020b) highlight that advances in irrigation efficiency can lead to substantial water savings. For instance, Calzadilla et al. (2011) utilize the GTAP-W model to show that improvements in irrigation efficiency in water-

stressed regions not only conserve water but also boost agricultural productivity and welfare. Calzadilla et al. (2010) apply the IMPACT model to analyze the economy-wide impacts of climate change on agricultural sectors, demonstrating that without adaptation strategies, climate change would significantly affect production and trade patterns. Similarly, Osman et al. (2016) find that efficient irrigation practices in Egypt could offset potential water losses from the Nile, positioning water efficiency as a crucial strategy for food security. In Iran, Javadi et al. (2023) examine the implications of climate change for food security, with a CGE model projecting reduced productivity of key staples like wheat and rice. These findings raise significant concerns regarding food availability and price stability, especially given the reliance on domestically produced crops for food security.

In Tunisia, research on water-related issues using CGE models is limited. Notably, the study by Chemingui and Thabet (2016) stands out, as it explores the economy-wide impacts of various water management policies in both Tunisia and Morocco. The research employs a dynamic water-CGE model for each country, integrating water as a production factor alongside land and two types of capital. The authors investigate three alternative scenarios: cutting subsidies on water prices, doubling public spending on water mobilization, and analyzing the combined effects of both policies. The results show that low water costs encourage farmers to engage in more water-intensive agricultural activities. While reducing subsidies leads to improved water management by directly affecting irrigation water use and reallocating resources between agricultural and non-agricultural sectors, it also results in short- to medium-term income losses for farmers. However, these losses are expected to decrease over time as farmers adopt more water-efficient technologies.

Despite the valuable insights provided by this study, a significant gap remains in the literature regarding the broader economic implications of water scarcity in Tunisia. The challenge of integrating climate change impacts into standard CGE models adds complexity, as the uncertainty surrounding water availability in future climate scenarios cannot be easily addressed by traditional approaches. As a result, many studies have employed hybrid models, linking CGE with partial equilibrium models to simulate water supply under various climate scenarios.

Furthermore, while there has been some research on water management in Tunisia through taxation and subsidy schemes, there has been no comprehensive analysis of the wider economic consequences of water scarcity. This research aims to fill this gap by using CGE modeling to assess the economic repercussions of water constraints on Tunisia's economy. In addition, it proposes mitigation policies while building on previous findings to provide a thorough understanding of the challenges and potential solutions to water scarcity in Tunisia.

In summary, while water pricing and public investment in water infrastructure are valuable strategies, improving water use efficiency—particularly in agriculture—emerges as a crucial approach to addressing water scarcity and maintaining economic stability. These studies indicate

that direct improvements in irrigation efficiency offer the most significant benefits for water conservation and economic resilience.

#### 4. AGWA-TUN: an agriculture-water CGE model for Tunisia

#### 4.1. Specification

To achieve our research objectives, we explore various modeling approaches, including partial equilibrium modeling, input-output analysis, and CGE modeling. Among these, CGE modeling emerges as the most suitable approach for a comprehensive analysis of the economic impacts of water scarcity, allowing for a detailed sectoral analysis and capturing inter-sectoral interactions across the entire economy, as suggested by Taheripour et al. (2020b).

The Agriculture-Water focused CGE model for Tunisia, AGWA-TUN, is adapted from a CGE model developed by Dissou (2002) but incorporates several unique features. Tunisia is modeled as a small open economy, with world prices of imports and exports treated as exogenous. The economy is represented by five production sectors producing the following commodities: agriculture (AGR), food processing (AFI), water (WATER), other industries (OTH IND), and services (SERVICES). The model utilizes three primary production factors: labor (LAB), capital (CAP), and land (La). Land is treated as an implicit production factor, utilized exclusively by the agricultural sector in combination with capital. Given that water rights are often linked to land titles, the land value indirectly encompasses the water value, following the rationale of Calzadilla et al. (2017).

The AGWA-TUN operates as a sequential dynamic CGE model, enabling long-term simulations and providing a comprehensive view of the economy. The model consists of multiple equation blocks, with mathematical specifications detailed in the Appendix.

#### 4.2. Calibration

The calibration of the AGWA-TUN is based on a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) developed by the ITCEQ (2021) for Tunisia for the year 2016. This SAM was adjusted to align with the study's objectives, incorporating modifications that involved aggregating and disaggregating economic sectors. Since the SAM does not explicitly represent the water sector, we supplement it using Tunisia's 2016 supply-use table provided by the National Statistics Institute of Tunisia (INS, 2022). Following the adjustments, the matrix encompasses 15 accounts, covering five activities and commodities, two production factors, three tax categories, an accumulation account, and four types of agents: households, firms, government, and the rest of the world.

#### 5. Scenario Design

#### 5.1. Water-related hypotheses

Recent studies indicate a trend toward drier, warmer climates in Tunisia, with expected increases in temperature and reductions in precipitation (INM, 2015). Projections based on the RCP scenarios of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) suggest a decline in precipitation by five to 10 percent under RCP 4.5 and by one to 14 percent under RCP 8.5. For a more optimistic outlook, Roson and Sartori (2015) suggest a 10 percent decline in precipitation by 2050, referencing the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) A1B, which envisions a world characterized by rapid economic growth and sustainable energy development.

To assess the potential economic effects of these changes, we establish four water-related hypotheses:

- H0 (No water scarcity): A hypothetical benchmark scenario with no water constraints.
- H1: Five percent reduction in water availability by 2050.
- H2: 10 percent reduction in water availability by 2050.
- H3: 14 percent reduction in water availability by 2050.

#### 5.2. Water use efficiency scenarios

Given that one of the primary purposes of CGE models is to evaluate the impact of policies, we compare three scenarios aimed at mitigating water shortages in Tunisia through improvements in WUE. Following Taheripour et al. (2020a), WUE policies are particularly promising in addressing water scarcity, as they facilitate increased output with the same water volume or maintain output with reduced water use.

In Tunisia, a significant constraint on the agricultural sector is the outdated infrastructure, particularly dams, which have not received adequate maintenance. This neglect threatens water quality and results in substantial water losses during distribution. Therefore, rehabilitating water reservoirs and distribution networks could effectively conserve water resources in agriculture and enhance their efficient use. Additionally, many farmers in Tunisia continue to employ inefficient irrigation techniques, leading to increased water losses due to evaporation, soil degradation, and over-irrigation. Consequently, modernizing the irrigation infrastructure could serve as a viable alternative to combat water scarcity issues, as demonstrated by Ahmed et al. (2024) in Egypt. Furthermore, promoting the cultivation of non-water-intensive and temperature-resistant crop varieties could enhance crop productivity while reducing overall water requirements.

To evaluate the economic implications of WUE policies in the agricultural sector, we impose three levels of productivity improvements: five percent, 10 percent, and 15 percent increases in the agricultural sector's productivity factor relative to the baseline scenario (H2). These cases are examined in conjunction with the water scarcity hypothesis, i.e., H2. We treat the H2 scenario as our reference scenario, meaning that results for each WUE scenario will be compared against it, denoting these scenarios as WUE 5 percent, WUE 10 percent, and WUE 15 percent to differentiate between the three levels of improvements, as summarized in Table 1. To simulate these scenarios, we incorporate external shocks to enhance factor-specific productivity in the agricultural production function, adopting the same methodology as Osman et al. (2016).

**Table 1. Summary of WUE scenarios** 

Scenario	Description	Interpretation
WUE 5%	5% improvement by 2050	Rehabilitation of water networks
WUE 10%	10% improvement by 2050	WUE 5% + Modernization of the irrigation infrastructure
WUE 15%	15% improvement by 2050	WUE 10% + Use of less water-intensive crops Source: Constructed by authors.

Source: Constructed by authors.

The varying levels of improvements allow us to assess how the combination of multiple strategies could influence the impacts of water shortages on the Tunisian economy.

Additionally, it is crucial to consider alternative adaptation methods, such as consumption control, virtual water-based business models, and waste management, to provide a more comprehensive approach to mitigating water scarcity. We will explore these strategies further to ensure that our recommendations encompass a range of viable options. Our model was solved and scenarios simulated using the General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS).

#### 6. Simulation results

Following the sequential approach illustrated in Figure B1 in the Appendix, we begin by analyzing the impacts of water shortages in section 6.1. This section primarily focuses on the sectoral impacts of water scarcity and how these impacts influence trade patterns in Tunisia, along with their repercussions on the country's economic growth. Subsequently, in Section 6.2, we explore how improvements in WUE can mitigate the effects of limited water availability in Tunisia.

#### 6.1. Impacts of the decrease in water availability

#### 6.1.1. Impacts on sectoral outputs

At this stage, we compare the impacts of varying levels of water resource reduction against a scenario with no water constraints (H0). Our analysis will focus on the interplay between the agricultural and food processing sectors, aligning with the objectives of our research. As illustrated in Figure 1, the simulation results indicate that the projected decrease in annual rainfall will adversely affect sectoral outputs. The impacts differ across sectors and are contingent on the degree of water availability reduction, revealing that both economic activities will suffer due to water resource shortages, either directly or indirectly.

The agricultural sector, as the largest consumer of water in Tunisia, experiences the most significant impact, with a projected 19.87 percent decrease in production levels by 2050 under the water scarcity hypothesis (H3), compared to the hypothetical situation (H0) without water constraints. Reduced annual rainfall will constrain rainfed agricultural yields and limit irrigation capacity, adversely affecting irrigated crop yields. The long-term impacts are substantial, with decreases ranging from 7.39 percent (H1) to 19.87 percent (H3) depending on the level of water availability reduction.

Figure 1. Percent changes in sectoral outputs under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)

a. Case of the agricultural sector

5,00%

0,00%


-5,00%

-10,00%

-15,00%

H1 H2 H3


b. Case of the food processing sector




Source: Authors' simulation results.

Figure 2. Percent changes in commodity prices under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)





b. Case of the Food Processing Sector



Source: Authors' simulation results.

Food security may also become a concern in the long term, as simulations show a significant decrease in the production of food commodities, albeit at a lower rate than that of agricultural production. The food processing sector relies heavily on crops and water as intermediate inputs, directly tying its production levels to agricultural yields and, consequently, to water availability. Thus, climate variability, which impacts both water resources and agricultural productivity, subsequently affects the production levels in the food processing sector, as illustrated in Figure 1b.

Regarding product prices, reductions in water resource availability in Tunisia are expected to increase agricultural product prices by approximately 3.97 percent, 8.26 percent, and 11.96 percent by 2050 under H1, H2, and H3, respectively. It is evident that greater reductions in water availability lead to more substantial impacts on agricultural commodity prices.

Price impacts also vary across sectors, as shown in Figure 2. An increase in food prices is anticipated due to the rising agricultural commodity prices; the food processing industries rely on agricultural produce as inputs for transformation into food commodities.


Therefore, price increases in agricultural products translate into higher costs for the food processing sector, ultimately resulting in a price rise of 4.51 percent under H3 by 2050 compared to the baseline situation (H0).

#### 6.1.2. Impacts on international trade indicators

By affecting domestic production, water shortages are projected to have significant repercussions on trade patterns. Simulation results indicate that changes in climatic conditions and decreased annual rainfall will adversely impact agricultural production levels, thereby affecting trade patterns in Tunisia, as illustrated in Figures 3a and 4a.

Figure 3. Percent changes in sectoral imports under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)

b.





Case of the Food Processing Sector

Source: Authors' simulation results.

Under water constraints, Tunisia will increasingly rely on imports of agricultural and food commodities to ensure food security in the long term. This increase in agricultural product imports can be viewed as an increase in virtual water imports. <sup>5</sup> By importing agricultural or food commodities, Tunisia essentially imports water resources, which helps preserve not only food security but also its water resources. As indicated by Hoekstra and Hung (2003), countries facing water challenges may opt to import "water-intensive products" rather than producing them domestically, representing a "market response strategy" to address water scarcity in Tunisia, which is a water-scarce country according to Roson and Sartori (2015) and Souissi et al. (2019).


With regard to sectoral exports, simulation outcomes indicate that water constraints will negatively affect agricultural exports by 2050. This decline is primarily attributed to decreased agricultural production in Tunisia, which adversely impacts the production levels of food processing industries, leading to reduced exports of food commodities, as shown in Figure 4b. For both the agricultural and food processing sectors, a larger reduction in water resource availability corresponds to a greater decrease in export rates.

According to the Tunisian Ministry of Agriculture (MAGRHP, 2023a), Tunisia's primary exports in terms of agricultural and food products include olive oil, dates, tomatoes, tomato-based products, and citrus. As noted by Benalaya et al. (2017), these products are considered water-intensive. Consequently, a decline in water availability leads to reduced agricultural and food exports. Furthermore, agricultural production and food processing activities, such as those for olive oil and processed tomatoes, require substantial water volumes. Thus, diminished water resource availability in Tunisia affects both agricultural commodities' production and food processing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Virtual water refers to the volume of water utilized in the production processes of agricultural or industrial commodities, as defined by Hoekstra and Hung (2003).


industries, resulting in decreased domestic production and, consequently, reduced exports from Tunisia.

Figure 4. Percent changes in sectoral exports under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)



Source: Authors' simulation results.

Figure 5. Percent changes in agricultural and food trade balances by 2050 compared to (H0)



Source: Authors' simulation results.

The impacts of water scarcity on trade balances are illustrated in Figure 5. The agricultural trade balance is projected to decline due to increased imports and reduced exports. Although the food processing trade balance remains stable under scenarios of slight water availability reductions, significant water shortages will also adversely affect food processing trade balances due to reduced exports in the food processing sector. Consequently, there is a projected decline in agricultural and food trade balances under all water availability reduction hypotheses (H1, H2, H3) compared to H0.

#### 6.1.3. Macroeconomic impacts


The impacts of reduced water availability on Tunisia's GDP are significant and negative. As illustrated in Figure 6, simulation results indicate a downward trend, projecting a decrease of 1.55 percent under scenario H3 compared to H0. Notably, GDP declines correspond with the severity of water shortages; the more severe the reduction in water availability, the greater the GDP contraction observed. This trend highlights the critical relationship between water resources and economic performance.

Figure 6. Percent changes in the Tunisian GDP under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)

Source: Authors' simulation results.


Furthermore, the agricultural share of GDP is projected to decline between 13.68 percent and 37.50 percent by 2050, depending on the severity of water resource reductions (Figure 7a). A similar trend is observed in the food processing sector, although the decrease is less pronounced than in agriculture (Figure 7b).

Figure 7. Percent changes in sectoral share of GDP under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)



-H2 -

-H1





Source: Authors' simulation results.

As noted by Taheripour et al. (2020b), reductions in water availability negatively affect the economic share of various sectors in national GDP. Our simulation results confirm that both agricultural and non-agricultural activities are adversely impacted by water shortages. The direct effect of diminished water resources on agricultural production also detrimentally influences other sectors that either supply agricultural inputs or process agricultural outputs.

Moreover, simulation results indicate that the wage rate is expected to decline under all water shortage scenarios (Figure 8a). This decline leads to decreased consumer revenues, which, in turn, diminishes consumption and savings capacities. Consequently, government revenues are expected to decrease, further exacerbating reductions in savings and total investments within the economy, as depicted in Figure 9. Social welfare is also adversely affected by water constraints, as illustrated in Figure 8b.

Figure 8. Percent changes in wage rate and welfare under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)





Source: Authors' simulation results.

The decline in welfare varies based on the severity of water availability reductions. Contributing factors include decreased sectoral outputs, limited domestic goods supply, rising prices of goods, and declining wage rates. Together, these factors diminish households' disposable incomes and consumption levels, ultimately resulting in deteriorating social welfare over the long term.

0,00%
-0,50%
-1,00%
-1,50%
-2,50%
-3,00%

Figure 9. Percent changes in macroeconomic indicators under the water-related hypotheses compared to (H0)

Source: Authors' simulation results.

Based on our simulations, we find that the impacts of water scarcity remain consistent across scenarios H1, H2, and H3; however, the severity of impacts escalates with decreasing water resources. The hypotheses—five percent, 10 percent, and 14 percent reductions—were analyzed under RCP scenarios 4.5 and 8.5. Scenarios H1 and H3 represent extreme cases, while H2 (10 percent) serves as a moderate reference. This aligns with the findings of Roson and Sartori (2015), which identified a 10 percent reduction in precipitation by 2050 under the SRES Scenario A1B as significant. Thus, H2 is utilized as a reference scenario for subsequent analyses of WUE improvements.


#### 6.2. Impacts of the WUE policies

#### 6.2.1. Impacts on sectoral outputs

Enhancing WUE in the agricultural sector is crucial for preserving water resources. This study simulates WUE scenarios by introducing an exogenous shock to increase factor-specific productivity in the agricultural production function, consistent with the methodology outlined by Osman et al. (2016). Such reforms are pivotal as they address challenges faced by the agricultural sector, enabling water conservation and equitable distribution among users. Consequently, these

improvements bolster crop yields and contribute to sustainable production by allowing for increased outputs with the same water volume or maintaining output levels while reducing water consumption. This incentivizes firms to elevate production levels, thus maximizing profits and spurring further investment. As depicted in Figure 10a, agricultural investment demand rises proportionately with the rate of WUE improvement.

Figure 10. Percent changes in investment demand and productivity of the agricultural sector by 2050 under WUE policies compared to (H2)



Source: Authors' simulation results.


Figure 11 illustrates that while the intensity of impact varies between sectors, both benefit from the agricultural sector's expansion driven by WUE improvements. Long-term projections indicate significant increases in sectoral outputs, ranging from 7.42 percent (WUE 5 percent) to 23.29 percent (WUE 15 percent) by 2050, dependent on the improvement levels. Implementing WUE strategies facilitates not only optimal water usage but also the conservation of hydraulic resources, thereby boosting productivity, as shown in Figure 10b.

The food processing sector also experiences positive outcomes from these agricultural advancements, with food production projected to rise by approximately 9.94 percent by 2050 under the WUE 15 percent scenario compared to H2. The literature supports the notion that WUE policies in agriculture can mitigate some adverse effects of water scarcity on food security, as highlighted in previous studies (Osman et al., 2016; Taheripour et al., 2020b; Ahmed et al., 2024).

Both sectors derive benefits from WUE policies in agriculture, with simulations indicating that higher rates of WUE improvement correlate with greater economic benefits. The observed increases in agricultural outputs stem from enhanced water use efficiency strategies, including the rehabilitation of water reservoir infrastructure and distribution networks, which reduce water losses and improve water quality.


Implementing more efficient irrigation techniques further minimizes water usage during irrigation, thus increasing productivity per unit of water used. Additionally, cultivating crop varieties with lower water requirements supports water resource preservation and enhances crop yields. Regarding consumer prices, Figure 12 demonstrates that enhancing water efficiency mitigates some price increases induced by water shortages under H2.

Figure 11. Impacts on sectoral output under WUE policies compared to (H2)



Source: Authors' simulation results.

Figure 12. Impacts on sectoral prices under WUE policies compared to (H2)




Source: Authors' simulation results.

This reduction in crop prices will be transmitted to the food processing sector through production linkages, influencing their costs and, consequently, their pricing, as supported by Liu et al. (2017). A comparison of results from the WUE 5 percent, WUE 10 percent, and WUE 15 percent scenarios reveals that a 15 percent improvement in WUE (WUE 15 percent) alleviates price pressures more effectively than the five percent (WUE 5 percent) and 10 percent (WUE 10 percent) improvements.

#### 6.2.2. Impacts on international trade indicators

Figures 13 and 14 illustrate the effects of WUE improvements on trade patterns in Tunisia. Improvements in WUE will lead to increased sectoral production, varying by the degree of WUE enhancement. Consequently, domestic production is expected to rise, reducing Tunisia's dependency on external sources. By 2050, imports of agricultural products are projected to decrease by 3.92 percent to 10.79 percent, depending on the level of WUE improvement.


Figure 13. Impacts on sectoral imports under WUE policies compared to (H2)



-WUE\_10%

Case of the Agricultural Sector





Source: Authors' simulation results.

-8,00%


-10,00% -12.00%

Figure 14. Impacts on sectoral exports under WUE policies compared to (H2)


WUE 15%



WUE 5%



b. Case of the Food Processing Sector




Source: Authors' simulation results.


Additionally, food imports are expected to decline by 0.89 percent to 2.36 percent, contingent on WUE improvements. Thus, enhanced WUE contributes to partially alleviating the impacts of water scarcity under (H2), promoting self-reliance in agri-food imports. On the export front, both sectors are anticipated to increase their export volumes, as indicated in Figure 14. Improvements in WUE lead to reduced production costs over time, making domestically produced crops more competitive

internationally due to the substitution between local and exported goods. In the long term, exports of agricultural and food products are expected to rise by approximately 36.20 percent and 11.66 percent, respectively, under the WUE scenario (WUE 5 percent) compared to the water-constrained situation (H2).

Under WUE scenarios, increased agricultural and agri-food exports correspond to a rise in virtual water exports, as shown in Figure 15. Regarding the increase in virtual water exports through agricultural and food products, Tunisia, which faces water resource constraints exacerbated by climate change, should prioritize importing water-intensive products from trade partners to address its water deficits. Simultaneously, Tunisia can enhance its export portfolio by diversifying and increasing the share of high-value, low-virtual-water agricultural and food commodities. This strategic shift allows Tunisia to optimize its limited water resources, improving economic resilience while supporting sustainable trade practices.


Figure 15. Impacts on virtual water exports under WUE policies compared to (H2)





Source: Authors' simulation results.

Figure 16. Changes in agricultural and food trade balances under WUE policies compared to (H2)



Source: Authors' simulation results.

Higher exports and reduced imports, depicted in Figure 16, illustrate the trade balance improvements for agriculture and agri-food under WUE scenarios relative to the baseline scenario (H2). By 2050, WUE improvements are projected to decrease agricultural trade balance deficits by over 28.90 percent (WUE 15 percent) and food processing sector deficits by over 19.57 percent (WUE 15 percent), resulting in a positive impact on Tunisia's current account balance and boosting GDP.

#### 6.2.3. Macroeconomic impacts

The findings demonstrate that WUE improvements mitigate some adverse effects of water scarcity on Tunisia's GDP, with greater efficiency gains yielding more substantial benefits. Figure 17 shows that improvements in WUE boost agricultural productivity, which, in turn, drives productivity gains across other sectors, notably food processing. In the long term, WUE policies result in higher GDP levels compared to the water-constrained scenario (H2), with GDP growth rates ranging from 0.5 percent (WUE 5 percent) to 1.54 percent (WUE 15 percent).

1,80%
1,60%
1,40%
1,20%
1,00%
0,80%
0,60%
0,40%
0,20%
0,00%

1,5<sup>15</sup> 15<sup>15</sup> 15<sup></sup>

Figure 17. Percent changes in GDP under WUE policies compared to (H2)

Source: Authors' simulation results.




Figure 18. Percent changes in sectoral share of GDP under WUE policies compared to (H2)

Source: Authors' simulation results.

WUE 10%

"\rightar \rightar \


The simulation results also highlight an increase in the share of agriculture and food processing within GDP, as seen in Figure 18. Improved agricultural productivity under WUE policies positively influences wage rates, as shown in Figure 19a, creating new job opportunities and enhancing household welfare.


The increase in wage rates enhances household income, purchasing power, and savings, as shown in Figure 20. Rising disposable income boosts domestic consumption, contributing to overall social welfare.


In summary, the simulations indicate that enhancing WUE in agriculture can offset some of the negative impacts of limited water availability, thereby improving sectoral productivity, decreasing imports, and increasing exports. This strategy ultimately supports Tunisia's economic growth and long-term welfare gains.

Comparing our results with prior studies on water scarcity and WUE policies reveals consistency across findings. Under water scarcity scenarios, we observe declines in agricultural output similar to those reported by Berrittella et al. (2007), Calzadilla et al. (2010), Osman et al. (2016), and Briand et al. (2023). These constraints adversely impact food security in the long term. Limited water availability prompts farmers to adopt alternative irrigation methods, increasing agricultural production costs, which subsequently drive up consumer prices, as noted by Narayanan et al. (2015). Additionally, the decline in agricultural productivity leads to wage rate reductions, impacting household income, savings, and consumption, thereby diminishing welfare levels, as demonstrated by Briand et al. (2023) and Narayanan et al. (2015). The reduction in domestic agricultural output necessitates increased imports of food and agricultural products to sustain national food security, echoing the conclusions of Roson and Sartori (2010) and Osman et al. (2016). These factors cumulatively slow economic growth and reduce GDP, consistent with findings from Narayanan et al. (2015) and Briand et al. (2023).

Figure 19. Percent changes in wage rate and welfare under WUE policies compared to (H2)








Source: Authors' simulation results.

Figure 20. Percent changes in in macroeconomic indicators by 2050 under WUE policies compared to (H2)



Source: Authors' simulation results.

Regarding WUE policies, our findings align with prior research, showing that water-saving strategies and irrigation modernization increase agricultural productivity, which, in turn, bolsters the food processing sector. This outcome is consistent with results from Calzadilla et al. (2011), Liu et al. (2017), Briand et al. (2023), and Ahmed et al. (2024). Efficient water use reduces irrigation costs, leading to lower consumer prices, as also found by Taheripour et al. (2020a) and Taheripour et al. (2020b). WUE improvements help Tunisia become more self-reliant in agricultural and food production, mitigating the impacts of water shortages and enhancing the competitiveness of domestically produced goods on the international market. This trend toward increased exports in a WUE-improved scenario aligns with outcomes from Calzadilla et al. (2011) and Osman et al. (2016). Furthermore, enhanced agricultural productivity supports growth in the

food processing sector, leading to higher wage rates, increased consumption, and improved social welfare, as also demonstrated by Briand et al. (2023). In line with findings from Freire-Gonz'alez (2019), Taheripour et al. (2020a), Briand et al. (2023), and Ahmed et al. (2024), our simulations confirm that improvements in WUE help mitigate the adverse impacts of water scarcity and contribute to long-term economic growth.

#### 7. Main findings and conclusions

Historically, agriculture has been crucial for the Tunisian economy. However, Tunisia faces escalating water scarcity, worsened by the insufficient maintenance of water infrastructure and inefficient irrigation practices. This ongoing water loss reduces agricultural productivity, especially under climate-induced shifts in water availability, ultimately impacting Tunisia's economic growth.

Our objective is to quantify the economic effects of reduced water availability on agricultural production and its broader economic implications. To achieve this, we developed a dynamic CGE model focused on agriculture and water, simulating various water availability reduction scenarios projected to 2050. Additionally, we assessed how improvements in WUE could mitigate these impacts.

The results indicate that water scarcity significantly hampers the Tunisian economy. Simulations show that declining rainfall reduces agricultural yields, negatively affecting long-term food security and driving up imports while decreasing exports. These factors increase the trade deficit and lower GDP. Water shortages are also expected to raise commodity prices, decrease wage rates, and reduce welfare gains by 2050. Our findings reveal that the severity of economic losses from water shortages varies with the extent of water reductions from baseline levels (H0).

To explore mitigation strategies, we analyzed three WUE policy scenarios. These scenarios indicate that enhanced WUE can partially alleviate water scarcity impacts by increasing productivity in the agricultural and food processing sectors. This boosts exports, reduces imports, narrows the trade deficit, and supports economic growth. We also examined impacts on virtual water trade and found that despite WUE improvements, embedded water exports still rise, suggesting a need for better management of exported goods.

Long-term simulations suggest that higher rates of WUE improvement strengthen mitigation potential, positively influencing both economic activities and overall economic performance. This implies that a combination of strategies would be more effective in countering water scarcity and fostering economic growth.

Our findings indicate the need for policies to offset the macroeconomic effects of water scarcity. Rehabilitating water infrastructure and improving distribution networks is essential to preserving water quantity and quality. Adopting high-efficiency irrigation technologies and shifting from traditional to advanced methods would minimize water loss. Additionally, cultivating non-water-intensive, temperature-resistant crops and limiting water-demanding crops would reduce water use. Research into optimal crop varieties suited to water and temperature constraints is recommended. Improving soil quality for better water retention can also reduce irrigation needs.

For a water-scarce country like Tunisia, importing water-intensive products while exporting high-value, low-water-use goods would alleviate food and water deficits. Trade agreements should ensure a diversified export-import portfolio to enhance resilience. Extensions of our study could provide further insights. Differentiating land and capital factors within agricultural production would add specificity to our analysis. We could also increase the disaggregation of agricultural and food processing accounts within the SAM. Adopting approaches similar to Decaluw'e et al. (1999), we could regionalize Tunisia by climate and water availability for a more nuanced analysis. Additionally, exploring unconventional water resources, as suggested by Kahsay et al. (2019) and Briand et al. (2023), or implementing taxation policies as in Berrittella et al. (2008a), Freire-Gonz'alez and Ho (2018), and Shan et al. (2023) could yield insights into water resource preservation and economic growth enhancement.

#### References

- Ahmadi, F., Nazeri Tahroudi, M., Mirabbasi, R., and Kumar, R. (2022). Spatiotemporal Analysis of Precipitation and Temperature Concentration Using PCI and TCI: A Case Study of Khuzestan Province, Iran. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 149(1):743-760.
- Ahmed, Y. N., Negm, M. M., Alnafissa, M., and Hefnawy, F. (2024). An Empirical Analysis of Irrigation Modernization Projects Using the CGE Model. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(1):1177-1194.
- Al-Riffai, P., Breisinger, C., Verner, D., and Zhu, T. (2012). Droughts in Syria: An Assessment of Impacts and Options for Improving the Resilience of the Poor. *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, 51(1):21-49.
- Benalaya, A., Chebil, A., Souissi, A., Frija, A., Makhlouf, M., and Stambouli, T. (2017). Quantification of Virtual Water Balance of Tunisia: Flows Embedded in the Main Produced, Consumed and Exchanged Agricultural Commodities. New Medit: Mediterranean Journal of Economics, Agriculture and Environment = Revue Mediterraneenne d'Economie Agriculture et Environnement, 16(2):11.
- Berrittella, M., Hoekstra, A. Y., Rehdanz, K., Roson, R., and Tol, R. S. (2007). The Economic Impact of Restricted Water Supply: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Water Research*, 41(8):1799-1813.
- Berrittella, M., Rehdanz, K., Roson, R., and Tol, R. S. (2008a). The Economic Impact of Water Taxes: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis with an International Data Set. *Water Policy*, 10(3):259–271.
- Berrittella, M., Rehdanz, K., Tol, R. S., and Zhang, J. (2008b). The Impact of Trade Liberalization on Water Use: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Journal of Economic Integration*, pages 631-655.
- Briand, A., Reynaud, A., Viroleau, F., Markantonis, V., and Branciforti,
- G. (2023). Assessing the Macroeconomic Effects of Water Scarcity in South Africa Using a CGE Model. *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, 28(2):259-272.
- Calzadilla, A., Rehdanz, K., Roson, R., Sartori, M., and Tol, R. S. (2017). Review of CGE Models of Water Issues. *World Scientific Reference on Natural Resources and Environmental Policy in the Era of Global Change: Volume 3: Computable General Equilibrium Models*, pages 101-123.
- Calzadilla, A., Rehdanz, K., and Tol, R. S. (2010). The Economic Impact of More Sustainable Water Use in Agriculture: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Journal of Hydrology*, 384(3-4):292-305.
- Calzadilla, A., Rehdanz, K., and Tol, R. S. (2011). Water Scarcity and the Impact of Improved Irrigation Management: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Agricultural Economics*, 42(3):305-323.
- CCDR (2023). Tunisia Country Climate and Development Report. Technical Report, World Bank.
- CCNUCC (2023). Quatrième Communication Nationale de la Tunisie au Titre de la Convention Cadre des Nations Unies Sur Les Changements Climatiques. Technical Report, Tunisia.
- Chemingui, M. A. and Thabet, C. (2016). Economy-wide Analysis of Alternative Water Management Policies: A Comparative Analysis for Morocco and Tunisia. *Water Economics and Policy*, 2(04):1650030.

- Cui, X. and Xie, W. (2022). Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change Through Growing Season Adjustments: Evidence from Corn in China. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 104(1):249-272.
- Decaluwé, B., Patry, A., Savard, L., et al. (1999). When Water is No Longer Heaven Sent: Comparative Pricing Analysis in an AGE Model. Université Laval, Département d'économique.
- Dissou, Y. (2002). Dynamic Effects in Senegal of the Regional Trade Agreement Among UEMOA Countries. *Review of international economics*, 10(1):177-199.
- Freire-González, J. (2019). Does Water Efficiency Reduce Water Consumption? The Economy-Wide Water Rebound Effect. *Water Resources Management*, 33(6):2191-2202.
- Freire-González, J. and Ho, M. S. (2018). Environmental Fiscal Reform and the Double Dividend: Evidence from a Dynamic General Equilibrium Model. *Sustainability*, 10(2):501.
- Hoekstra, A. Y. and Hung, P. Q. (2003). Virtual Water Trade. In *Proceedings of the International Expert Meeting on Virtual Water Trade*, volume 12, pages 1-244.
- Ingram, J., Ericksen, P., and Liverman, D. (2012). Food Security and Global Environmental Change. Routledge.
- INM (2015). Le Changement Climatique en Tunisie.
- INS (2022). Les Comptes de la Nation Base 2015: Agrégats et Tableaux D'ensemble 2015-2020: Éléments de Méthodologie et Principaux Résultats.
- IPCC (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. H. Lee, J. Romero, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ITCEQ (2021). Réforme de Ciblage Des Subventions Alimentaires: Enseignements d'une Évaluation Ex-ante des Effets Attendus.
- Javadi, A., Ghahremanzadeh, M., Sassi, M., Javanbakht, O., and Hayati,
- B. (2023). Economic Evaluation of the Climate Changes on Food Security in Iran: Application of CGE Model. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 151(1):567–585.
- Kahsay, T. N., Arjoon, D., Kuik, O., Brouwer, R., Tilmant, A., and van der Zaag, P. (2019). A Hybrid Partial and General Equilibrium Modeling Approach to Assess the Hydro-Economic Impacts of Large Dams—The Case of the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam in the Eastern Nile River Basin. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 117:76-88.
- Khalili, N., Arshad, M., Farajzadeh, Z., Kächele, H., and Müller, K. (2021). Does Drought Affect Smallholder Health Expenditures? Evidence from Fars Province, Iran. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23:765-788.
- Liu, Y., Hu, X., Zhang, Q., and Zheng, M. (2017). Improving Agricultural Water Use Efficiency: A Quantitative Study of Zhangye City Using the Static CGE Model with a CES Water-Land Resources Account. *Sustainability*, 9(2):308.
- Luckmann, J., Grethe, H., McDonald, S., Orlov, A., and Siddig, K. (2014). An Integrated Economic Model of Multiple Types and Uses of Water. *Water Resources Research*, 50(5):387503892.
- MAGRHP (2020). Rapport National Du Secteur De L'eau, Annee 2020. Internal Report.
- MAGRHP (2021). Rapport National Du Secteur De L'eau, Annee 2021. Internal Report.
- MAGRHP (2023a). Tableau De Bord De L'agriculture, 2023. Technical Report.
- MAGRHP (2023b). Elaboration De La Vision et De La Strat'Egie Du Secteur De L'eau 'A L'horizon 2050 Pour La Tunisie: Eau 2050. Internal Report.
- Malhi, G. S., Kaur, M., and Kaushik, P. (2021). Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture and its Mitigation Strategies: A Review. *Sustainability*, 13(3):1318.

- Narayanan, B. G., Taheripour, F., Hertel, T. W., Sahin, S., and Escurra, J. J. (2015). Water Scarcity in South Asia: A Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Analysis.
- ONAGRI (2021). Evolution de L'envasement Des Barrages En Tunisie. Observatoire National de l'Agriculture.
- Osman, R., Ferrari, E., and McDonald, S. (2016). Water Scarcity and Irrigation Efficiency in Egypt. *Water Economics and Policy*, 2(04):1650009.
- Qin, C., Jia, Y., Su, Z., Bressers, H. T., and Wang, H. (2012). The Economic Impact of Water Tax Charges in China: A Static Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Water international*, 37(3):279–292.
- Rivers, N. and Groves, S. (2013). The Welfare Impact of Self-Supplied Water Pricing in Canada: A Computable General Equilibrium Assessment. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 55(3):419-445.
- Roson, R. and Sartori, M. (2010). Water Scarcity and Virtual Water Trade in the Mediterranean. *University Ca'Foscari of Venice, Dept. of Economics Research Paper Series*, (08 10).
- Roson, R. and Sartori, M. (2015). System-wide Implications of Changing Water Availability and Agricultural Productivity in the Mediterranean Economies. *Water Economics and Policy*, 1(01):1450001.
- Roson, R. and Van der Mensbrugghe, D. (2012). Climate Change and Economic Growth: Impacts and Interactions. *International Journal of Sustainable Economy*, 4(3):270–285.
- Salami, H., Shahnooshi, N., and Thomson, K. J. (2009). The Economic Impacts of Drought on the Economy of Iran: An Integration of Linear Programming and Macroeconometric Modelling Approaches. *Ecological Economics*, 68(4):1032-1039.
- Shahpari, G., Ashena, M., Martinez-Cruz, A. L., and León, D. G. (2023). Implications for the Iranian Economy from Climate Change Effects on Agriculture—A Static Computable General Equilibrium Approach. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 153(3):1221-1235.
- Shan, S., Ni, H., Lin, X., and Chen, G. (2023). Evaluation of Water Saving and Economy Impact for Tax Reform Policy Using CGE Model with Integrated Multiple Types of Water. *Water*, 15(11):2118.
- Siddig, K., Stepanyan, D., Wiebelt, M., Grethe, H., and Zhu, T. (2020). Climate Change and Agriculture in Sudan: Impact Pathways Beyond Changes in Mean Rainfall and Temperature. *Ecological Economics*, 169:106566.
- Souissi, A., Chebil, A., Mtimet, N., and Thabet, C. (2019). Virtual Water Flows and Water Value in Tunisia: The Case of Wheat and Olive. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 12:1-10.
- Taheripour, F., Tyner, W. E., Haqiqi, I., and Sajedinia, E. (2020a).
- Water Scarcity in Morocco: Analysis of Key Water Challenges. World Bank.
- Taheripour, F., Tyner, W. E., Sajedinia, E., Aguiar, A., Chepeliev, M., Corong, E., de Lima, C. Z., and Haqiqi, I. (2020b). Water in the Balance: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change and Water Scarcity in the Middle East—Technical Report. Technical Report, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Udmale, P. D., Ichikawa, Y., Manandhar, S., Ishidaira, H., Kiem, A. S., Shaowei, N., and Panda, S. N. (2015). How Did the 2012 Drought Affect Rural Livelihoods in Vulnerable Areas? Empirical Evidence from India. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 13:454-469.
- Yilmaz, D., Ben-Nasr, S., Mantes, A., Ben-Khalifa, N., Daghari, I., and Godin, A. (2023). Climate Change, Loss of Agricultural Output and the Macro-Economy: The Case of Yunisia. *AFD Research Papers*, (286):1-106.

Zhao, J., Ni, H., Peng, X., Li, J., Chen, G., and Liu, J. (2016). Impact of Water Price Reform on Water Conservation and Economic Growth in China. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 51:90-103.


#### **Appendix**

#### A- AGWA-TUN: Mathematical Specification

#### **Production Modelling**

Firms are assumed to compete in perfect competition. Each sector (J) has a representative firm that maximises profits, subject to its production technology. Each firm produces an output using factors of production, labour and capital (in addition to land, in the case of the agricultural sector), and intermediate inputs, as depicted in figure A1.

Figure A1: Company Technology: Nested Production Functions



Source: Elaborated by author

At the second level, each industry's value-added consists of a combination of labour and capital through a Cobb-Douglas technology.

$$VA_{j} = AP_{j}^{\left(\sigma_{j}^{P}-1\right)}XTS_{j} \left[\frac{\alpha_{j}^{P}PXTS_{j}}{PVA_{j}}\right]^{\sigma_{j}^{P}}$$
(Eq1)

On the intermediate consumption side, the aggregate is made up of different inputs, combined using a Leontief function, to produce a composite of intermediate inputs.

$$INT_{j} = AP_{j}^{\left(\sigma_{j}^{P}-1\right)}XTS_{j} \left[\frac{\left(1-\alpha_{j}^{P}\right)PXTS_{j}}{PINT_{j}}\right]^{\sigma_{j}^{P}}$$
(Eq2)

#### Income and Savings Modelling

This module allows measuring the income and expenditure of the four economic agents. Households maximise their welfare which is represented by a CES utility function given their budget constraints. Each household earns his income from different sources; from payments for production factors (labour and capital), and transfers received from the government and the rest of the world, equation (Eq3). His disposable income, equation (Eq4), is derived by subtracting direct taxes collected by the government. His savings and

total consumption are considered as fixed proportions of the disposable income, equation (Eq5).

$$YTH = W \sum_{j} LD_{j} + \beta_{KH} \sum_{j} r.K_{j} + TRGH + TRROWH$$
 (Eq3)

$$YDH = YTH(1 - TY_H) \tag{Eq4}$$

$$SAV_H = SH \times YDH$$
 (Eq5)

Enterprise income is made of its share of capital income, equation (Eq6). The enterprise savings are the difference between its total income and its direct taxes paid to the government.

$$YE = \left(1 - \beta_{KH}\right) \sum_{j} r.K_{j} \tag{Eq6}$$

Government's revenues are made of a large variety of taxes. Equation (Eq7) shows that the government collects its revenues from direct taxes paid by households and enterprises, indirect taxes on products and consumption, and taxes collected on imports.

$$YG = \sum_{i} t_{c_i} PC_i C_i + \sum_{i} t_{G_i} PC_i G_i + \sum_{i} tinv_i PC_i Dinv_i + \sum_{j} t_{P_j} PXS_j XTS_j \quad \text{(Eq7)}$$
$$+ t_{y_E} YE + t_{y_H} YTH \tag{1}$$

Government savings in equation (Eq8), are the difference between its revenues and expenditures obtained by subtracting from its income the current consumption of goods and services and its transfers to households and the rest of the world.

$$SAVG = YG - \sum_{i} PC_i \left(1 + t_{G_i}\right) G_i - TRGH - TRGROW$$
 (Eq8)

When it comes to the rest of the world, it receives payments from imports and transfers from the government, and its expenditures consist mainly of exports payments and transfers to households. Equation (Eq9) presents the difference between foreign revenues and expenditures, the rest of the world's savings that is equal to the absolute value of the current account balance, but of opposite sign.

$$SAVF = \sum_{i} \left( PWM_{i} \times M_{i} \right) - \sum_{i} \left( PWEX_{i} \times EX_{i} \right) + TRGROW - TRROWH$$
 (Eq9)

#### Demand Modelling

Demand for goods and services, domestically produced or imported from the rest of the world, consists of the households' and the government's final consumption of goods and services, the investment demand and the intermediate consumption demand, equation Eq10.

$$XTD_i = C_i + G_i + DINV_i + \sum_i V_{ij}$$
 (Eq10)

A CES utility function was used, where households' demand for each good or service

is determined by utility maximisation, subject to the budget constraint. Household's demand function is given by equation (Eq11).

$$C_{i} = \left[\frac{\alpha_{i}^{C}}{PC_{i}\left(1 + t_{C_{i}}\right)}\right]^{\sigma^{C}} \frac{CH}{\sum_{i} \left(\alpha_{i}^{C}\right)^{\sigma^{C}} \left[PC_{i}\left(1 + t_{C_{i}}\right)\right]}$$
(Eq11)

Investment demand by each sector (I) is determined as a fixed share from total savings, as shown in equation (Eq12), where BETAV(I) is the fixed industry share.

$$DINV_i = \frac{BETAV_i \cdot TOTSAV}{PCINV_i}$$
 (Eq12)

Goods and services are also used as inputs in the production process. The intermediate demand for commodity (J) is determined by the equation (Eq13).

$$INT_{j} = AP_{j}^{(\sigma_{j}^{P}-1)}XTS_{j} \left[ \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_{j}^{P}\right)PXTS_{j}}{PINT_{j}} \right]^{\sigma_{j}^{P}}$$
 (Eq13)

#### International Trade Modelling

On both sides, imports and exports, Armington's assumptions were used. A CES function was then implemented to model the relationship between both imported and locally produced goods, as shown in equation (Eq14).

$$M_{i} = (AM_{i})^{\left(\sigma_{i}^{m}-1\right)} XTD_{i} \left[\frac{\alpha_{i}^{m} PC_{i}}{PM_{i}}\right]^{\sigma_{i}^{m}}$$
 (Eq14)

Supplier behaviour is symmetrical to that of the producer. In that, imperfect substitution is also assumed for exports. To determine the relationship between goods produced for local and foreign markets, a CET function is used, as illustrated in equation (Eq15).

$$EX_i = (AX_i)^{-(1+\sigma_i^X)} XTS_i \left[ \frac{PEX_i}{\alpha_i^X / PXTS_i(1+t_{P_i})} \right]^{\sigma_i^X}$$
 (Eq15)

#### Equilibrium Modelling

The equation (Eq16) checks the supply and demand equilibrium for each commodity on the goods and services markets.

$$XTS_i = C_i + DINV_i + G_i + \sum_j V_{ij}$$
 (Eq16)

Since labour is assumed to be mobile across sectors, market wage W plays a key role in balancing supply and demand. Thus, labour market equilibrium is given by the equation (Eq17).

$$\sum_{j} LD_{j} = LS \tag{Eq17}$$

Similarly for the capital market equilibrium using the rental price R, equation (Eq18).

$$\sum_{j} K_{j} = KTOT \tag{Eq18}$$

Another equilibrium condition that should be verified is related to the total investment expenditure, which must be equal to the sum of savings relative to the economic agents in our economy, as in equation (Eq19).

$$TOTSAV = SAVE + SAVH + SAVF + SAVG$$
 (Eq19)

Domestic demand for commodity (I), must be equal to the total supply of that type of commodity, equation (Eq20).

$$XDD = XDS (Eq20)$$

#### Dynamic Module

In order to analyse the long term impacts of different scenarios, a dynamic approach is proposed. To transform our static version to a dynamic one, we used the static model, indexed all variables in time (T), introduced the following equation (Eq21), a capital accumulation equation, and made the necessary adjustments:

$$K_{J,T+1} = K_{J,T} \cdot (1 - \delta_j) + IND_{J,T}$$
 (Eq21)

In addition to the dynamic equations that we added to our static model, we also integrated population growth. Since in our static model there is no population variable, we considered a population growth rate PG(T), making it variable from one period to another. We used this rate in order to update the values of exogenous variables that are assumed to grow at the population growth rate. Labour supply LS(T), foreign savings  $SAV_{-}F(T)$ , public consumption G(I,T), and transfers are all assumed to grow at the population growth rate PG(T).

The reason behind this assumption, assuming that labour supply and the other exogenous variables grow at the same rate, is to enable the model to simulate a balanced growth path, which means that all quantities or variables grow at a constant rate, the population growth rate in our case, while relative prices remain constant.

#### Model Closure

Our model is a neoclassical model. The equilibrium on goods and services markets and factors markets is determined by the flexibility of prices and wages. Supply of labour and capital factors are exogenous. We also considered that the government's savings are flexible, while tax rates are fixed. Foreign savings are also exogenous and the exchange rate is assumed to be the "numeraire".

#### B- Conceptualisation of the methodological approach

Virtual water trade **Economy-Wide Impacts** International trade GDP growth Income and welfare Economy-wide impacts Water scarcity implications Economic Impacts of WUE Commodities Production and Consumption Crop consumption consumption Food Crop production Food production Effects of water scarcity Effects of WUE Agriculture Agrifood Water Availability and Allocation Other sectors ■ Water supply Investment Climate change

Source: Author's conceptualisation

Figure B1: Conceptualisation of the Methodological Approach

34