ERF WORKING PAPERS SERIES

# Structural Domestic Conditions, Regional and International Financial Integrations: Evidence from MENA

Erdal Özmen and Fatma Taşdemir



Working Paper No. 1728 September 2024

# STRUCTURAL DOMESTIC CONDITIONS, REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INTEGRATIONS: EVIDENCE FROM MENA

Erdal Özmen<sup>1</sup> and Fatma Taşdemir<sup>2</sup>

Working Paper No. 1728

September 2024

Acknowledgements: This work was sponsored by the Economic Research Forum (ERF) and has benefited from both financial and intellectual support. The views expressed in this work are entirely those of the author(s) and should not be attributed to ERF, its Board of Trustees or donors. An earlier version of this paper was presented at the ERF 30<sup>th</sup> conference: Tragedies of Regional Conflicts and Promises of Peacebuilding. We thank conference participants and Chahir Zaki for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Send correspondence to: Fatma Taşdemir Sinop University <u>ftasdemir@sinop.edu.tr</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: <u>ozmen@metu.edu.tr</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey. E-mail: <u>ftasdemir@sinop.edu.tr</u>

First published in 2024 by The Economic Research Forum (ERF) 21 Al-Sad Al-Aaly Street Dokki, Giza Egypt www.erf.org.eg

Copyright © The Economic Research Forum, 2024

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without permission in writing from the publisher.

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this publication are entirely those of the author(s) and should not be attributed to the Economic Research Forum, members of its Board of Trustees, or its donors.

#### Abstract

This paper investigates the effects of international (IFI) and regional financial integrations (RFI) on structural domestic conditions represented by financial development and governance in Middle East and North Africa economies (MENA). To this end, we first measure RFI in the MENA by using bilateral financial flows. Our results suggest that too much IFI deters whilst higher levels of RFI promote financial development. High levels of IFI and RFI both tend to be positively associated with institutional quality and governance. The empirical findings in this paper propose that MENA economies should engage in structural reforms, encompassing liberalization of capital accounts, eliminating barriers to regional financial integration, enhancing the institutional environment and financial development. In this vein, policymakers may be suggested to formulate strategies with the goal of maximizing the beneficial effects of both international and regional financial integrations.

**Keywords**: International financial integration, Regional financial integration, Financial development, Governance, Middle East and North Africa Economies **JEL Classifications**: F30, F40, F62, R10.

#### ملخص

تبحث هذه الورقة آثار التكامل المالي الدولي والإقليمي على الظروف المحلية الهيكلية المتمثلة في التنمية المالية والحوكمة في اقتصادات الشرق الأوسط وشمال أفريقيا. وتحقيقا لهذه الغاية، نقيس أولا إيرادات التمويل الإقليمية في منطقة الشرق الأوسط وشمال أفريقيا باستخدام التدفقات المالية الثنائية. تشير نتائجنا إلى أن الكثير من مؤسسات التمويل الدولية تردع في حين أن المستويات الأعلى من RFI تعزز التنمية المالية. وعادة ما ترتبط المستويات العالية من مؤسسات التمويل الدولية تردع في ومؤسسات التمويل الإقليمية ارتباطا إيجابيا بالجودة المؤسسية والحوكمة. وتقترح النتائج التجريبية الواردة في هذه الورقة أن تنخرط اقتصادات الشرق الأوسط وشمال أفريقيا في إصلاحات هيكلية تشمل تحرير حسابات رأس المال، وإزالة الحواجز التي تعترض التكامل المالي الإقليمي، وتعزيز البيئة المؤسسية والتنمية المالية. وفي هذا السيواحز التي تعترض التكامل المالي الإقليمي، وتعزيز البيئة المؤسسية والتنمية المالية. وفي هذا المواحز التي تعترض التكامل المالي الإقليمي، وتعزيز البيئة المؤسسية والتنمية المالية. وفي هذا السيواح على واضع

# 1. Introduction

Conventional theory suggests that movement of capital from rich to poor economies provides risksharing, efficient capital allocation and better growth opportunities. Obstfeld (1994) remarks that growth and welfare gains from financial openness are large and permanent. This led many emerging market and developing economies in East Asia, Eastern Europe and Latin America to remove or eliminate restrictions on capital account to attract financial flows in the 1980s and 1990s (Agenor, 2003). However, the Mexican crisis in 1994, the Asian crisis in 1997, the Russian crisis in 1998, the Brazilian crisis in 1999, the Turkish crisis in 2001, and the Argentine crisis in 2001/2002 have prompted a reassessment of theoretical expectations regarding financial openness in light of empirical evidence.

Gourinchas and Jeanne (2006) finds that a non-OECD country that switches from financial autarky to perfect capital mobility tends to experience only a slight improvement in welfare. Edison et al. (2002) reports that there is no robust positive effect of capital account openness on growth. Bekaert et al. (2005) remarks that international financial openness increases growth. Bonfiglioli (2008), on the other hand, finds that both *de facto* and *de jure* financial openness increase total factor productivity. According to Kose et al. (2009), the benefits of financial integration are potentially operated through indirect channel which is dubbed as "collateral benefits". The bulk of the literature<sup>3</sup> including Kose et al. (2011), Broner and Ventura (2016) and Furceri et al. (2019) emphasizes the importance of "collateral benefits" or structural domestic conditions represented by financial development and institutional quality. In this context, Kose et al. (2009) argues that capital account openness can promote financial development, provide discipline on macroeconomic policies, stimulate efficiency between domestic and foreign firms and encourage institutional quality and governance. Furthermore, these "collateral benefits" are expected to be larger than direct effect of financial openness.

Kose et al. (2009) remarks that openness to international financial flows can stimulate financial development. Accordingly, the presence of foreign banks allows countries to access international financial markets much easier. In addition, foreign banks can enhance regulatory and supervisory frameworks on domestic banks. Furthermore, the competition between domestic and foreign banks can enhance the quality of financial services. Klein and Olivei (2008) presents evidence that financial development is much higher in financially more open economies. The results by Caballero and Krishnamurthy (2001) and Aghion and Banerjee (2005) suggest that procyclicality of capital flows is substantially higher in economies with swallow and inefficient financial markets. Levine (2001) indicates that the liberalization of portfolio flows and the participation of foreign banks tend to enhance liquidity of the stock market and the efficiency of the banking system, both of which contribute to better economic growth through increased productivity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An important related issue is the presence of Sovereign Wealth Fund in resource-rich MENA economies. We are grateful to the referee for reminding us this crucially important issue. Elbadawi et al. (2020) and Mohieldin et al. (2021) provide excellent studies on the Sovereign Wealth Funds in Arab countries.

According to Stulz (2005), financial openness enhances corporate governance and mitigates the cost of capital. Masten et al. (2008) indicates that openness to international financial flows tends to improve financial development by enhancing institutional quality and governance that provides stability and mitigates asymmetric information. Morck et al. (2005) reports that financial globalization improves corporate governance by exposing domestic investors to implement better regulatory frameworks.

James (2018) and McCauley et al. (2019) remark that the recent global financial crisis leads to a substantial decline in cross-border financial asset transactions. A recent report by the IMF predicts a slowdown in growth prospects both for advanced, emerging markets and developing economies (World Economic Outlook, 2023). Considering the procyclicality of capital flows (Kaminsky et al., 2004), this projection can also imply a slowdown in financial flows and international financial openness. These can prevent countries from realizing the theoretical and collateral benefits of capital account openness. In this vein, regional financial integration has emerged as a complementary subset to international financial integration.

Regional financial integration can be defined as "the process of opening up capital accounts among countries of geographical proximity, including a liberalization of cross border activities of financial institutions within the integrating area" (Frey and Volz, 2013; p.80). Eyraud et al. (2017) indicates that regional financial integration tends to enhance growth by alleviating the sensitivity to global shocks. Park and Lee (2011) suggest that geographical proximity can stimulate financial flows within the region by mitigating asymmetric information. Garcia-Herrero and Wooldridge (2007) and Eyraud et al. (2017) point out that regional financial integration stimulates good practices in financial system and improvement in financial development. In this vein, Tahari et al. (2007) reports that regional financial integration in Maghreb countries enhances the depth and efficiency of financial markets.

This paper first aims to examine the effects of openness to international and regional financial flows on structural domestic conditions represented by financial development and governance in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) economies. We measure openness to international financial flows with international financial integration (Lane and Milesi-Ferretti, 2018). We consider the bilateral financial investment stocks data prepared by Pagano et al. (2020) to proxy a quantity-based measure of regional financial integration (RFI) in MENA. IFI is calculated as the sum of gross stocks of financial assets and liabilities (as a percentage of GDP). In a similar vein, we compute RFI as the summation of gross stocks of financial assets and liabilities (as a percentage of GDP) between the MENA economies. In this vein, we examine whether the IFI and RFI affect financial development and governance in MENA.

The literature often considers price-based measures of RFI that focuses on cross-sectional dispersion in interest rates and asset returns. Baele et al. (2004) suggests that this measure

considers only listed companies in stock markets. In this context, we construct a quantity-based measure of RFI in MENA. The literature often calculates regional financial integration in Asia or Europe. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that measures regional financial integration in MENA by using the actual financial flows within the region. We also aim to contribute to the literature by investigating the effects of both IFI and RFI on structural domestic conditions including financial development and governance. We include the squares of IFI and RFI into the estimated equations to tackle the potential nonlinear relationship issue. The potential endogeneity of IFI and RFI for financial development and governance is taken into account by utilizing system generalized method of moments (GMM) method (Arellano and Bond, 1991; Arellano and Bover, 1995).

Our findings indicate that IFI tends to increase financial development albeit the high levels of IFI diminish financial development by affecting financial market access and depth. However, high levels of RFI appear to enhance financial development by affecting financial market efficiency. High levels of IFI also diminish corruption, enhance government effectiveness and provide political stability. This also appears to be the case for RFI.

The plan for the rest of this paper is as follows. The following section introduces the data, presents some descriptive statistics and evaluates international and regional financial integrations in MENA. Section 3 explains the empirical methodology and reports the estimation results. Section 4 briefly explains the main empirical findings and provides some policy implications for MENA.

# 2. Data: Descriptive Statistics and Stylized Facts

This paper investigates the effects of international and regional financial flows on structural domestic conditions in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) economies. International financial flows are measured either by the de facto financial openness introduced by Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2018) or de jure capital account openness proposed by Chinn and Ito (2006). Kose et al. (2009) remarks that de jure financial openness represents mainly restrictions on financial asset transactions which are effective on paper but often ineffective in practice. This leads us to focus on de facto financial openness proxied with international financial integration (IFI). IFI is constructed based on the sum of gross stocks of financial assets (purchases/sales of foreign assets by domestic residents) and liabilities (purchases/sales of domestic assets by foreign residents) in portfolio equity, foreign direct investment and other investment (e.g., banking) flows as a percent of GDP. We use the External Wealth of Nations database provided by Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2018) to calculate IFI.

The literature proposes either quantity-based or price-based criteria to measure regional financial integration (RFI). Regional integration is defined as the sum of bilateral financial flows between the countries according to the quantity-based measure. The price-based RFI, on the other hand, focuses on whether there is a convergence in asset prices or interest rates in a specific region. Baele

et al. (2004) suggests that this measure considers only listed companies in stock markets. Therefore, we consider quantity-based criterion to calculate the RFI in MENA. Following Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2018), we define RFI as the sum of gross stocks of financial assets and liabilities in portfolio equity, foreign direct investment and other investment flows (as a percentage of GDP) among the countries in MENA. To calculate RFI, we use the gross stocks of bilateral financial asset transactions data provided by Pagano et al. (2020). The data availability allows us to focus on 11 MENA economies including Bahrain, Egypt, Israel, Kuwait, Lebanon, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Turkey and United Arab Emirates.

Variable	Definition	Data Source
International Financial	Sum of gross stocks of financial assets and	External Wealth of Nations
Integration (IFI)	liabilities (% of GDP)	Database II (Lane and Milesi-
		Ferretti, 2018)
Regional Financial	Sum of gross stocks of bilateral financial assets	FINFLOWS Database (Pagano et
Integration (RFI)	and liabilities in MENA (% of GDP)	al., 2020)
Real GDP per capita	Logarithm of real income per capita	Penn World Table (Feenstra et al.,
(RGDPpc)		2015)
Financial Development	Financial development index is constructed	Financial Development Index
Index (FD)	based on the depth, access and efficiency of	Database (IMF, Financial
	financial markets and institutions	Development Index Database;
		Svirydzenka, 2016)
Institutional Quality and	Institutional quality and governance is	World Bank, Worldwide
Governance (GOV)	constructed based on the control of corruption,	Governance Indicators (Kaufmann
	government effectiveness, political stability and	and Kraay, 2023)
	absence of violence, regulatory quality, rule of	
	law and voice and accountability	

Table 1. Definitions and Data Sources of the Variables

Table 1 presents the definitions and data sources of our variables of interest. Real income per capita data (RGDPpc) are taken from Penn World Table database (Feenstra et al., 2015). To represent domestic financial conditions, we use financial development index (FD) by Svirydzenka (2016) which is constructed based on the depth, access and efficiency of financial institutions and markets. The index varies between zero and one with higher values denote better domestic financial conditions. The data for institutional quality and governance (GOV) are from Worldwide Governance Indicators, World Bank. Control of corruption, government effectiveness, political stability and absence of violence, regulatory quality, rule of law and voice and accountability are the main components of the GOV. GOV values are between -2.5 and 2.5 with higher values representing better institutional quality and governance. We normalize the GOV to be between zero and one.

**Figure 1. International Financial Integration** 



Figure 1.a shows the evolution of mean IFI (sum of gross stocks of assets and liabilities, as a percent of GDP) in MENA during the 1990-2021 period. Accordingly, IFI tends to diminish during the first two decades and then it increases during the rest of the period. Figure 1.b decomposes IFI into assets and liabilities flows (% of GDP). Assets flows appear to be much higher than liabilities flows during the whole period. This may indicate that IFI is mainly driven by assets flows in MENA. According to Figure 1.b, assets and liabilities flows tend to move together. The figure also suggests that the spread between assets and liabilities is much higher during the pre-crisis period, albeit it appears to diminish over the years.





Figure 2 represents the network diagram that shows the bilateral financial flows in MENA. The direction and thickness of arrows denote, respectively, the movement of capital from reporter to destination country and size of financial flows between the reporter and destination country. Apparently, financial flows between Turkey and Saudi Arabia and Saudi Arabia and Egypt are substantially much higher than the other country pairs. The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries (Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates) appear to have more financial asset transactions with each other than non-GCC MENA economies.



**Figure 3. Structural Domestic Conditions, International and Regional Financial Integrations in MENA** 

Figure 3 shows the evolutions of international and regional financial integrations along with structural domestic conditions represented by financial development and governance. Apparently, financial development tends to move together with not only international but also regional financial integrations. The positive association seems to be the case for governance, albeit this reverses for international financial integration after 2011.

	IFI	RFI	Real GDP per capita	FD	GOV
Main Descriptive Statistics					
Mean	377.3	33.6	41209.2	0.38	0.57
Median	211.7	2.6	35065.8	0.37	0.56
S.D.	464.8	90.1	30810.7	0.11	0.23
Correlation Matrix					
IFI					
RFI	0.856	1.00			
Real GDP per capita	0.341	0.196	1.00		
FD	0.143	0.061	0.547	1.00	
GOV	0.126	0.054	0.625	0.563	1.00
Variance Inflation Factor					
		1.52	1.93	1.75	2.17

Table 2. The Main Descriptive Statistics and Variance Inflation Factor

Table 2 presents the main descriptive statistics, correlation matrix and variance inflation factor. Accordingly, the mean of international financial integration is substantially much higher than regional financial integration in MENA. The mean real income per capita is around 41209 US dollars in constant 2017 prices. Mean financial development is around 0.38. Considering the financial development index varies between zero and one, this may suggest that financial development in MENA is relatively lower. We normalize the governance variable to have a variation between zero and one. The mean of GOV which is around 0.57 indicates that MENA economies appear to have relatively low institutional quality and governance. According to the correlation matrix, IFI and RFI are strongly correlated. IFI tends to have considerably higher association with real GDP than RFI. Financial development and governance may be expected to increase with the income level. The considerably high correlation between real income and these variables tend to support this postulation. Countries with better governance appear to have a higher level of financial development. Table 2 also indicates that variance inflation factors of the variables are substantially less than 10 suggesting the lack of serious multicollinearity.

## 3. Structural Domestic Conditions, Regional and International Financial Integrations

## 3.1. Domestic financial development and its main components

The literature provides mixed evidence on the beneficial effects of financial integration as briefly discussed in the Introduction. Edison et al. (2002) reports that there is no robust positive effect of capital account openness on growth. Recent studies including Kose et al. (2009; 2011), Broner and Ventura (2016) and Furceri et al. (2019), on the other hand, suggest that indirect benefits of financial openness are likely to promote growth and stability. Financial development is one of the most important indirect benefits accrued by financial integration according to Kose et al. (2009). Access to international financial markets is expected to increase regulatory and supervisory frameworks on domestic financial development and quality of financial services. Levine (2001) suggests that financial integration can raise stock market liquidity and banking system efficiency. Aghion and Banerjee (2005) and Caballero and Krishnamurthy (2001) remark that procyclicality of capital flows is much lower in economies with efficient financial markets.

To investigate the effect of international financial integration on financial development, we estimate:

$$FD_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FD_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 RGDPpc_{it} + \beta_3 IFI_{it} + \beta_4 IFI_{it}^2 + e_{it}$$
(1)

In eq. (1), FD is financial development index, RGDPpc is logarithm of real income per capita, IFI is international financial integration. Kose et al. (2011) remarks that economies with high levels of international financial integration are more able to reap the indirect benefits of financial openness. To consider this important point, we also include the square of the international financial integration variable<sup>4</sup>. We estimate eq. (1) by employing system generalized method of moments (GMM) estimation method (Arellano and Bond, 1991; Arellano and Bover, 1995). We maintain that lagged dependent variable, real income per capita, international financial integration and its square are potentially endogenous for financial development. We use the second and third lags of endogenous variables as instruments. Bond (2002) remarks that dependent variables can be treated symmetrically with the endogenous variables. Consequently, we also maintain the same lag structure for dependent variables. A large instrument set can weaken the instrument validity test and therefore we use the collapse command of Roodman (2009).

	FD	FI	FM	FMD	FME	FMA
Dependent Variable	Eq. (1.1)	Eq. (1.2)	Eq. $(1.3)$	Eq. $(1.4)$	Eq. (1.5)	Eq. (1.6)
FD <sub>it-1</sub>	0.944***	- <b>1</b> ·()	- <b>1</b> ·()	- <b>1</b> ·()	- <b>1</b> ·()	- <b>1</b> · (-··•)
	(0.109)					
FI <sub>i.t-1</sub>	( /	0.915***				
		(0.051)				
FM <sub>i,t-1</sub>			0.928***			
			(0.103)			
FMD <sub>i.t-1</sub>				0.924***		
				(0.092)		
FME <sub>i,t-1</sub>					0.809***	
					(0.113)	
FMA <sub>i,t-1</sub>						0.763***
						(0.099)
IFI <sub>it</sub>	0.333**	0.030	0.640**	0.525**	0.335	0.824***
	(0.146)	(0.071)	(0.267)	(0.230)	(0.600)	(0.292)
IFI <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-0.311**	0.015	-0.626**	-0.546**	-0.298	-0.849***
	(0.141)	(0.070)	(0.258)	(0.220)	(0.576)	(0.287)
RGDPpc <sub>it</sub>	-0.049**	0.013	-0.111**	-0.057	-0.201**	-0.024
	(0.024)	(0.021)	(0.045)	(0.043)	(0.093)	(0.056)
Constant	0.291	-0.882*	0.839	1.010	0.460	-2.587
	(1.522)	(0.512)	(1.898)	(1.855)	(2.803)	(1.925)
# of Observations	319	319	319	319	319	319
# of Countries	11	11	11	11	11	11
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AR1 [p-value]	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
AR2 [p-value]	0.983	0.196	0.671	0.767	0.478	0.751
Sargan-Test[p-value]	0.309	0.318	0.548	0.434	0.233	0.938
Wald-Test [p-value]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

**Table 3. International Financial Integration and Domestic Financial Conditions** 

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

Table 3 presents the system GMM estimation results of eq. (1). The validity of instruments and consistency of GMM estimators require the lack of higher order serial correlation. The estimated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We also estimated the model without the squared term. The results are essentially the same and available on request. However, as it is statistically significant, we retain the squared term.

equations in Table 3 pass the residual diagnostics including the second order autocorrelation and Sargan instrument validity tests. To examine the effect of international financial integration on financial development, we disaggregate the latter as financial institutions and markets based on access, depth and efficiency. According to the results by Equation (1.1), financial development is highly persistent. The estimated coefficients for international financial integration and its square are, respectively, positive and negative indicating an inverted-U<sup>5</sup> shaped relationship between financial integration and financial development. This may imply that international financial integration appear to mitigate financial development in MENA. This result also suggests that "too much international financial integration" (Taşdemir, 2023) leads to lower financial development. David et al. (2015) suggests that the lack of macroprudential policies and financial sector regulations may promote excessive risk-taking behavior and credit growth. In addition, international financial integration may stimulate capital flight and increase the sensitivity to self-fulfilling panics. These factors, overall, may lessen the effect of financial integration on financial development especially in economies with more financially open.

We also estimate eq. (1) by using the main components of financial development. We consider financial institutions (FI) in eq. (1.2), financial markets (FM) in eq. (1.3), financial markets depth (FMD) in eq. (1.4), financial markets efficiency (FME) in eq. (1.5) and financial markets access (FMA) in eq. (1.6). Apparently, the inverted-U shaped relationship between international financial integration and financial development in eq. (1.1) is mainly driven by the financial markets as reported by eq. (1.3). A further disaggregation of financial markets with respect to depth, access and efficiency<sup>6</sup> reveals that inverted-U shaped relation between international financial integration and financial markets is determined by financial markets depth in eq. (1.4) and financial markets access in eq. (1.6)<sup>7</sup>. Real income per capita is negatively associated with financial development in eq. (1.1). This is consistent with the view that higher income MENA economies tend to have lower financial markets efficiency and financial development according to the results in eq.s (1.3) and (1.5).

We also investigate the relationship between financial development and regional financial integration. In this vein, we estimate:

$$FD_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FD_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 RGDPpc_{it} + \beta_3 RFI_{it} + \beta_4 RFI_{it}^2 + e_{it}$$
(2)

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  We also utilize the test proposed by Lind and Mehlum (2010), which posits the null hypothesis of a monotonic or U-shaped relationship against the alternative hypothesis of an inverted-U relationship. The p-value of the test is 0.016, indicating the validity of an inverted-U-shaped relationship between these variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> We also disaggregate financial institutions with respect to depth, access and efficiency. Our results suggest that there is no significant effect of international financial integration on the disaggregated financial institutions variables. To save the space, we do not report the results but they are available on request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The p-values of the Lind-Mehlum (2010) test results are, respectively, 0.011 for eq. (1.4) and 0.002 for eq. (1.6).

The potential endogeneity of regional financial integration and its square along with real income per capita for financial development leads us to estimate eq. (2) by utilizing system GMM estimation method. Table 4 presents the empirical results.

Den en deut Verichte	FD	FI	FM	FMA	FME	FMD
Dependent variable	Eq. (2.1)	Eq. (2.2)	Eq. (2.3)	Eq. (2.4)	Eq. (2.5)	Eq. (2.6)
FD <sub>i,t-1</sub>	0.978***					
	(0.168)					
$FI_{i,t-1}$		0.880***				
		(0.108)				
$FM_{i,t-1}$			0.931***			
			(0.146)			
FMA <sub>i,t-1</sub>				0.450*		
				(0.269)		
FME <sub>i,t-1</sub>					0.671***	
					(0.193)	
FMD <sub>i,t-1</sub>						0.915***
						(0.112)
RFI <sub>it</sub>	-1.849**	-0.067	-3.102**	0.108	-7.471**	-2.529
	(0.944)	(0.231)	(1.505)	(0.905)	(3.185)	(1.557)
RFI <sup>2</sup> <sub>it</sub>	1.270**	0.080	2.107**	-0.256	5.270**	1.732
	(0.644)	(0.153)	(1.036)	(0.618)	(2.206)	(1.133)
RGDPpc <sub>it</sub>	0.027	0.054*	-0.032	-0.104	-0.082	0.136
	(0.078)	(0.031)	(0.139)	(0.093)	(0.241)	(0.093)
Constant	1.043	-1.230	1.804	-8.036***	4.381	2.955
	(1.996)	(0.789)	(2.335)	(2.254)	(3.895)	(2.558)
# of Observations	209	209	209	209	209	209
# of Countries	11	11	11	11	11	11
Time Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AR1-Test [p-value]	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.01
AR2-Test [p-value]	0.123	0.387	0.295	0.683	0.906	0.188
Sargan-Test [p-value]	0.819	0.944	0.878	0.397	0.859	0.825
Wald-Test [p-value]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

**Table 4. Regional Financial Integration and Domestic Financial Conditions** 

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

The estimated equations in Table 4 pass the residual diagnostics. The estimated parameter for lagged financial development is positive and statistically significant. This suggests that financial development is not transitory but instead highly persistent. This appears to be the case also for the main components of the financial development index. The coefficient of regional financial integration is negatively significant and regional financial integration square is positively significant with financial development. The signs of the parameters indicate the presence of a U-shaped relationship between regional financial integration and financial development. These results imply that high levels of regional financial integration appear to increase financial development. This is consistent with the findings by Garcia-Herrero and Wooldridge (2007) and Eyraud et al. (2017). Accordingly, regional financial integration promotes good practices in the financial system and stimulates financial development. Our empirical results, on the other hand, indicate that this is the case for economies with more regional financially integrated economies.

We also estimate eq. (2) by disaggregating financial development as financial institutions<sup>8</sup> (FI) in eq. (2.2) and financial markets (FM) in eq. (2.3). According to the results by eq. (2.3), the U-shaped relationship between regional financial integration and financial development is determined by financial markets. Then, we further disaggregate financial markets with respect to access (FMA) in eq. (2.4), efficiency (FME) in eq. (2.5) and depth (FMD) in eq. (2.6). Apparently, the U-shaped relationship between regional financial integration and financial development is mainly driven by financial markets efficiency. Real income per capita is positively associated with financial institutions. This may suggest that higher income MENA economies appear to have better financial institutions.

#### 3.2. Governance and its main components

International financial integration tends to promote better institutional quality and governance according to Kose et al. (2009). Bonaglia et al. (2001) finds that openness leads economies to enhance institutional environment and diminish corruption level, especially in the long run. Edison et al. (2002) reports that there is a positive association between international financial integration and governance. Roe and Siegel (2011) indicate that political stability shows the countries' willingness to enhance the institutional quality and governance which protects investors' rights. According to Mishkin (2009), globalization is among the important determinants of institutional reforms. Kose et al. (2005) indicates that foreign investors prefer to invest in economies with better governance. Stulz (2005) indicates that financial globalization stimulates corporate governance leading to mitigation in the cost of capital. Cornelius and Kogut (2003) and Morck et al. (2005) maintain that exposure to international investors leads countries to enhance their governance standards.

To explain the effect of international financial integration on governance, we consider the following equation:

$$GOV_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GOV_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 RGDPpc_{it} + \beta_3 IFI_{it} + \beta_4 IFI_{it}^2 + e_{it}$$
(3)

In eq. (3), GOV is the normalized aggregate governance indicator. The aggregate governance indicator is measured as the simple average of control of corruption (COC), government effectiveness (GE), political stability and no violence (PSNV), regulatory quality (RQ), rule of law (ROL) and voice and accountability (VAA). Considering the argument by Kose et al. (2011), we also incorporate the square of the international financial integration (IFI) variable. We estimate this equation by utilizing system GMM method because of the potential endogeneity concerns among the variables. We follow the same empirical procedures presented earlier to estimate eq. (3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Our results suggest that there is no significant effect of regional financial integration on the disaggregated financial institutions variables. To save the space, we do not report the results but they are available on request.

Table 5 presents the estimation results of eq. (3). Our measure of institutional quality and governance is GOV in eq. (3.1), VAA in eq. (3.2), ROL in eq. (3.3), RQ in eq. (3.4), COC in eq. (3.5), GE in eq. (3.6) and PSNV in eq. (3.7). According to residual diagnostics, the estimated equations pass the autocorrelation and Sargan instrument validity tests. The estimated parameter for lagged governance is positive and statistically significant in eq. (3.1). Such a persistent impact appears to be the case also for the main components of institutional quality and governance which are represented by eq.s (3.2)-(3.7). In eq. (3.1), the effect of international financial integration on aggregate governance is negative but it is statistically insignificant. International financial integration square, on the other hand, is positively associated with governance. This result suggests that high levels of international financial integration led to better institutional quality and governance in MENA. Then, we consider the main components of governance in eq.s (3.2)-(3.7) because the aggregate governance indicator can conceal the individual effects of its main elements. The results in eq.s (3.2) and (3.4) suggest that the effects of international financial integration and its square are statistically insignificant, respectively, on voice and accountability and regulatory quality. International financial integration tends to increase rule of law as indicated by eq. (3.3) and this seems to be invariant to the level of international financial integration.

Donondont Variable	GOV	VAA	ROL	RQ	COC	GE	PSNV
Dependent variable	Eq. (3.1)	Eq. (3.2)	Eq. (3.3)	Eq. (3.4)	Eq. (3.5)	Eq. (3.6)	Eq. (3.7)
GOV <sub>i,t-1</sub>	0.885***						
	(0.039)						
VAA <sub>i,t-1</sub>		0.872***					
		(0.086)					
ROL <sub>i,t-1</sub>			0.944***				
			(0.070)				
$RQ_{i,t-1}$				0.987***			
				(0.049)			
COC <sub>i,t-1</sub>					0.832***		
					(0.158)		
GE <sub>i,t-1</sub>						0.977***	
						(0.046)	
$PSNV_{i,t-1}$							0.866***
							(0.078)
IFI <sub>it</sub>	-0.240	0.055	0.150*	-0.153	-0.822***	-0.356***	-0.330
	(0.153)	(0.331)	(0.077)	(0.198)	(0.268)	(0.125)	(0.202)
$\mathbf{IFI}_{\mathrm{it}}^2$	0.195*	-0.047	-0.091	0.135	0.845***	0.279*	0.450*
	(0.118)	(0.353)	(0.098)	(0.227)	(0.248)	(0.150)	(0.236)
RGDPpc <sub>it</sub>	0.055***	-0.059	0.027	0.026	0.162	0.055**	0.107
	(0.019)	(0.039)	(0.031)	(0.021)	(0.110)	(0.024)	(0.093)
Constant	3.045	4.441	0.555	1.666	3.028	-0.396	9.622*
	(2.192)	(3.313)	(1.977)	(1.773)	(2.943)	(2.230)	(5.771)
# of Observations	253	253	253	253	253	253	253
# of Countries	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Time Fixed Effects	Yes						
AR1-Test [p-value]	0.009	0.015	0.004	0.015	0.009	0.004	0.051
AR2-Test [p-value]	0.947	0.132	0.146	0.911	0.230	0.335	0.810
Sargan-Test [p-value]	0.296	0.411	0.063	0.114	0.046	0.856	0.644
Wald-Test [p-value]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

**Table 5. International Financial Integration and The Main Components of Governance** 

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

As indicated by eq. (3.5), the level of international financial integration is negatively while the high levels of international financial integration are positively associated with control of

corruption. The signs of these parameters indicate that there is a U-shaped relationship between international financial integration and control of corruption. This may imply that low levels of international financial integration mitigate but high levels of international financial integration stimulate the control of corruption in MENA. According to the results by eq. (3.6), there is also an inverted-U shaped relationship between government effectiveness and international financial integration stimulate government effectiveness. The results in eq. (3.7) indicate that the effect of international financial integration on political stability and no violence is statistically insignificant, albeit international financial integration square is positively associated with political stability and no violence. This may imply that high levels of international financial integration promote political stability and no violence in our sample of MENA economies. The estimation results in Table 5 also indicate that there is a positive and significant relationship between real income per capita and governance. Accordingly, higher income MENA economies tend to have better government effectiveness and governance.

We now proceed with the investigation of the impact of regional financial integration on governance. To this end, we estimate:

$$GOV_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GOV_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 RGDPpc_{it} + \beta_3 RFI_{it} + \beta_4 RFI_{it}^2 + e_{it}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The endogeneity concerns led us to estimate eq. (4) with system GMM method. Our measure of governance is normalized aggregate governance indicator (GOV) in eq. (4.1), voice and accountability (VAA) in eq. (4.2), rule of law (ROL) in eq. (4.3), regulatory quality (RQ) in eq. (4.4), control of corruption (COC) in eq. (4.5), government effectiveness (GE) in eq. (4.6) and political stability and no violence (PSNV) in eq. (4.7). Consistent with the previous sections, we follow the same empirical procedures. All the estimated equations in Table 6 pass the residual diagnostics.

The estimated coefficient of lagged aggregate governance is positive and statistically significant. Such persistence impact seems to be also the case for the main components of governance. The effect of regional financial integration on aggregate governance is statistically insignificant. Regional financial integration square, on the other hand, is positively associated with aggregate governance suggesting that high levels of regional financial integration promote governance. The effects of regional financial integration and its' square on voice and accountability, rule of law, regulatory quality and control of corruption are statistically insignificant. In eq. (4.6), the estimated parameters of regional financial integration and its' square are, respectively, negative and positive. These are consistent with the presence of a U-shaped relationship between regional financial integration mitigates government effectiveness while high levels of regional financial integration mitigates government effectiveness. Apparently, regional financial integration mitigates government effectiveness. In a similar vein to eq. (4.7) in Table 5, the positive and significant impact of regional financial integration on political stability and the absence of violence is observed only at

higher levels of the former. There is also a positive and significant relationship between real income per capita and rule of law. This suggests that higher income MENA economies tend to have better rules of law.

Dependent Variable	GOV Eq. (4.1)	VAA Eq. (4.2)	ROL Eq. (4.3)	RQ Eq. (4.4)	COC Eq. (4.5)	GE Eq. (4.6)	PSNV Eq. (4.7)
GOV <sub>i,t-1</sub>	0.959*** (0.029)						
VAA <sub>i,t-1</sub>	(01023)	$0.962^{***}$					
$ROL_{i,t-1}$		(0.055)	$0.891^{***}$				
$RQ_{i,t-1}$			(0.038)	0.910***			
COC <sub>i,t-1</sub>				(0.042)	0.976***		
GE <sub>i,t-1</sub>					(0.048)	0.981*** (0.040)	0.965***
PSIN V <sub>i,t-1</sub>							(0.098)
RFI <sub>it</sub>	-0.028 (0.031)	0.144 (0.095)	0.077 (0.073)	-0.033 (0.161)	-0.100 (0.428)	-0.288** (0.145)	0.046 (0.532)
RFI <sup>2</sup> <sub>it</sub>	0.031* (0.018)	0.044 (0.143)	-0.048 (0.047)	0.079 (0.099)	0.063 (0.242)	0.287*** (0.098)	0.613** (0.268)
RGDPpc <sub>it</sub>	0.016 (0.021)	-0.006 (0.029)	0.058** (0.027)	0.029 (0.032)	0.017 (0.044)	0.043 (0.029)	0.091 (0.190)
Constant	2.619 (2.309)	3.550 (2.339)	1.696 (2.741)	6.491** (2.566)	5.073* (3.111)	2.664 (2.708)	4.237 (10.299)
# of Observations	209	209	209	209	209	209	209
# of Countries	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Time Fixed Effects AR1-Test [p-value]	Yes 0.008	Yes 0.011	Yes 0.004	Yes 0.011	Yes 0.003	Yes 0.004	Yes 0.053
AR2-Test [p-value]	0.798	0.054	0.186	0.812	0.286	0.407	0.602
Sargan-Test [p-value]	0.696	0.082	0.326	0.652	0.670	0.720	0.529
Wald-Test [p-value]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 6. Regional Financial Integration and The Main Components of Governance

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

## 4. Concluding Remarks and Some Policy Implications

The theoretical benefits of openness to international financial flows include better risk sharing, efficient capital allocation, and enhanced growth prospects. However, the empirical literature provides mixed evidence on the beneficial effects of financial openness. According to Kose et al. (2009), these benefits often operate through indirect channels, such as financial development and governance, ultimately resulting in total factor productivity growth and macroeconomic stability. Recently, the IMF has remarked that growth prospects have slowed in both advanced and emerging market economies. Given that capital flows are procyclical (Kaminsky et al., 2004), this projection may imply a slowdown in capital flows and international financial integration, posing an impediment for countries to realize these beneficial effects. There is a new and growing literature

suggesting regional financial integration is expected to insulate the economies from external shocks. This paper contributes to the literature by measuring regional financial integration based on the bilateral financial flows data for Middle East and North Africa (MENA) countries which are often neglected by literature. We also contribute to literature by investigating the effects of international (IFI) and regional financial integrations (RFI) on structural domestic conditions represented by financial development and governance for a sample of 11 MENA economies during the 1996-2020 period.

Our empirical findings indicate that too much IFI mitigates whilst high levels of RFI encourage domestic financial development. The adverse effect of excessive IFI on financial development may be caused by inefficiently functioning state-owned enterprises which are the main pillars of the banking sector and limited access to financial markets as indicated by Emara and El Said (2021). To mitigate such adverse effects, MENA countries may better consider regulation of financial system and incentivize private sector participation to banking sector. In this vein, foundation of independent regulatory institutions, promotion of transparency and accountability, improvement in financial stability, providing favorable business environment, stimulation of foreign investment, innovation, competition and private-public partnership may be considered as key priority areas. In addition, policy makers should prioritize the development of stock and bond markets to provide efficient allocation of funds.

We also find that higher IFI and RFI both lead to better institutional environment by reducing corruption, increasing rule of law, promoting government effectiveness and political stability. Given that the institutional environment in MENA is relatively weak (Emara and El Said, 2021) reflecting overall market (Albaity et al., 2021) and risk-taking behaviors (Ellis et al., 2014), the promotion of IFI and RFI is expected to enhance both governance and risk-taking perception. In addition, financial interdependence in MENA is expected to generate common shared interests, provide stability and diminish the likelihood of conflict. Furthermore, financial integration promotes confidence and trust and encourages the economies to engage in diplomatic negotiations and peaceful dialogue.

To conclude, our results suggest that financial development and governance tend to enhance with financial integrations in MENA, attracting more financial flows in turn. Given that capital flows are procyclical, this may be expected to increase growth. According to Kose et al. (2009), these benefits potentially increase total factor productivity. To reap these benefits, MENA economies may extend the financial linkages with the region and the rest of the world. Currently, regional financial integration is mostly concentrated among the Gulf Cooperation Council countries. Dissemination of financial integration also with the other countries in the region will increase regional financial integration further. This may enhance the collateral benefits, economic growth, region's bargaining power in the world along with strengthened political stability and peace. To encourage regional financial integration further, MENA economies should commit themselves to

structural reforms containing capital account liberalization policies, elimination of the impediments on regional financial integration, improvement in institutional environment and financial development. In this vein, policymakers may be suggested to design policies aiming to maximize the benefits of both international and regional financial integrations.

#### References

- Agénor, P. R. (2003). Benefits and costs of international financial integration: Theory and facts. *World Economy*, 26(8), 1089-1118.
- Aghion, P., & Banerjee, A. (2005). Volatility and growth. Oxford University Press.
- Albaity, M., Noman, A. H. M., & Mallek, R. S. (2021). Trustworthiness, good governance and risk taking in MENA countries. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 21(4), 359-374.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 58(2), 277-297.
- Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of errorcomponents models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68(1), 29-51.
- Baele, L., Ferrando, A., Hördahl, P., Krylova, E., & Monnet, C. (2004). Measuring European financial integration. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 20(4), 509-530.
- Bekaert, G., Harvey, C. R., & Lundblad, C. (2005). Does financial liberalization spur growth? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 77(1), 3-55.
- Bonaglia, F., Braga de Macedo, J., & Bussolo, M. (2001). How globalisation improves governance. OECD Working Paper No. 181.
- Bond, S. R. (2002). Dynamic panel data models: A guide to micro data methods and practice. *Portuguese Economic Journal, 1*, 141-162.
- Bonfiglioli, A. (2008). Financial integration, productivity and capital accumulation. *Journal of International Economics*, 76(2), 337-355.
- Broner, F., & Ventura, J. (2016). Rethinking the effects of financial globalization. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(3), 1497-1542.
- Caballero, R. J., & Krishnamurthy, A. (2001). International and domestic collateral constraints in a model of emerging market crises. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 48(3), 513-548.
- Chinn, M. D., & Ito, H. (2006). What matters for financial development? Capital controls, institutions, and interactions. *Journal of Development Economics*, 81(1), 163-192.
- Cornelius, P. K., & Kogut, B. (Eds.). (2003). *Corporate governance and capital flows in a global economy*. Oxford University Press.
- David, A. C., Mlachila, M., & Moheeput, A. (2015). Does international integration matter for financial development in Africa? *Applied Economics*, 47(15), 1525-1549.
- Edison, H. J., Levine, R., Ricci, L., & Sløk, T. (2002). International financial integration and economic growth. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 21(6), 749-776.
- Elbadawi, I., Soto, R., & Zaki, C. (2020). Sovereign wealth funds and cross-border investment bias: The case of Arab countries. *Middle East Development Journal*, *12*(1), 1-23.
- Ellis, L., Haldane, A., & Moshirian, F. (2014). Systemic risk, governance and global financial stability. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 45, 175-181.
- Emara, N., & El Said, A. (2021). Financial inclusion and economic growth: The role of governance in selected MENA countries. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, *75*, 34-54.

- Eyraud, L., Singh, D., & Sutton, B. W. (2017). Benefits of global and regional financial integration in Latin America. *IMF Working Papers*, 2017(001).
- Feenstra, R. C., Inklaar, R., & Timmer, M. P. (2015). The next generation of the Penn World Table. *American Economic Review*, 105(10), 3150-3182.
- Frey, L., & Volz, U. (2013). Regional financial integration in Sub-Saharan Africa–An empirical examination of its effects on financial market development. *South African Journal of Economics*, 81(1), 79-117.
- Furceri, D., Loungani, P., & Ostry, J. D. (2019). The aggregate and distributional effects of financial globalization: Evidence from macro and sectoral data. *Journal of Money, Credit* and Banking, 51, 163-198.
- García-Herrero, A., & Wooldridge, P. (2007). Global and regional financial integration: Progress in emerging markets. *BIS Quarterly Review*, 57.
- Gourinchas, P. O., & Jeanne, O. (2006). The elusive gains from international financial integration. *The Review of Economic Studies*, *73*(3), 715-741.
- International Monetary Fund. (2023). World Economic Outlook, 2023.
- James, H. (2018). Deglobalization: The rise of disembedded unilateralism. *Annual Review of Financial Economics, 10,* 219-237.
- Kaminsky, G. L., Reinhart, C. M., & Végh, C. A. (2004). When it rains, it pours: Procyclical capital flows and macroeconomic policies. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 19, 11-53.
- Kaufmann, D., & Kraay, A. (2023). Worldwide Governance Indicators, 2023 Update (www.govindicators.org), Accessed on 10/19/2023.
- Klein, M. W., & Olivei, G. P. (2008). Capital account liberalization, financial depth, and economic growth. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, *27*(6), 861-875.
- Kose, M. A., Prasad, E. S., & Taylor, A. D. (2011). Thresholds in the process of international financial integration. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, *30*(1), 147-179.
- Kose, M. A., Prasad, E., Rogoff, K., & Wei, S. J. (2009). Financial globalization: A reappraisal. *IMF Staff Papers*, *56*(1), 8-62.
- Lane, P. R., & Milesi-Ferretti, G. M. (2018). The external wealth of nations revisited: International financial integration in the aftermath of the global financial crisis. *IMF Economic Review*, 66, 189-222.
- Levine, R. (2001). International financial liberalization and economic growth. *Review of International Economics*, 9(4), 688-702.
- Lind, J. T., & Mehlum, H. (2010). With or without U? The appropriate test for a U-shaped relationship. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 72(1), 109-118.
- Masten, A. B., Coricelli, F., & Masten, I. (2008). Non-linear growth effects of financial development: Does financial integration matter? *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 27(2), 295-313.
- McCauley, R. N., Bénétrix, A. S., McGuire, P. M., & von Peter, G. (2019). Financial deglobalisation in banking? *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 94, 116-131.

- Mishkin, F. S. (2009). Globalization and financial development. *Journal of Development Economics*, 89(2), 164-179.
- Mohieldin, M., Rostom, A., & Zaki, C. (2021). The external wealth of Arab nations: Structure, trends, and policy implications. *Economic Notes*, *50*(2), e12180.
- Morck, R., Wolfenzon, D., & Yeung, B. (2005). Corporate governance, economic entrenchment, and growth. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *43*(3), 655-720.
- Obstfeld, M. (1994). Evaluating risky consumption paths: The role of intertemporal substitutability. *European Economic Review*, 38(7), 1471-1486.
- Pagano, A., Nardo, M., Ndacyayisenga, N., & Zeugner, S. (2020). FINFLOWS: A database for bilateral financial investment stocks and flows. European Commission, Joint Research Centre.
- Park, C. Y., & Lee, J. W. (2011). Financial integration in emerging Asia: Challenges and prospects. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, 6(2), 176-198.
- Roe, M. J., & Siegel, J. I. (2011). Political instability: Effects on financial development, roots in the severity of economic inequality. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, *39*(3), 279-309.
- Roodman, D. (2009). How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. *The Stata Journal*, 9(1), 86-136.
- Stulz, R. M. (2005). The limits of financial globalization. *The Journal of Finance*, 60(4), 1595-1638.
- Svirydzenka, K. (2016). Introducing a new broad-based index of financial development. *IMF Working Papers*, 2016 (005).
- Tahari, A., Brenner, P., De Vrijer, E., Moretti, M., Senhadji, A., & Sensenbrenner, G. (2007). Financial sector reforms and prospects for financial integration in Maghreb countries. IMF Working Paper No. WP/07/125.
- Taşdemir, F. (2023). International financial integration: Too much? *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 23(2), 402-411.