

ERF Policy Brief

Lebanon at Risk: The Uncertain Road to a New Growth Path

Ishac Diwan and Henri Chaoul

About the authors

Ishac Diwan is the Director of Research for the Finance for Development Lab, a new institute located at the Paris School of Economics where he also teaches economics. Until recently he held the Chair Socio-Economy of the Arab World at Paris Sciences et Lettres | PSL (a consortium of Parisian universities) and an ERF Research Fellow.

Henri Chaoul is the Managing Partner, Levantine Partners. His mandates cover an array of industries with a concentration on Africa and the Middle East.

In a nutshell

- *The deep reason behind the Lebanese financial crisis is a currency peg maintained for too long. The collapse of the old model of living on the capital account is a rare opportunity to rebuild the economy on firmer, more productive, and more sustainable footing.*
- *The depth and length of the current crisis are due to the unwillingness of the political elites to distribute losses and clean up the financial system. With no access to loans, firms have been unable to shift production to export. Capital flight has exacerbated the crisis.*
- *Besides the ongoing financial crisis, the social crisis and the crisis of the state are equally damaging and require attention. This creates difficult financial trade-offs. However, each of these crises can block a recovery if left unattended.*
- *A big push is the best way to exit the three crises simultaneously. This requires a convincing national program with broad national support and large external funding – a politically challenging task in current circumstances.*
- *In the meantime, a more balanced donor strategy is needed, including an effort to protect basic services, to keep the possibility of a revival of the Lebanese economy alive.*

A Deep Triple Crisis

Lebanon's economy, mined with structural faults for decades, has been collapsing since 2019. The GDP per capita has seen a significant decline from around USD 11,000 in 2018 to less than USD 4,000 in 2022 – one of the harsher economic adjustments ever experienced in a country not at war. By early 2023, the country was mired in a debt crisis, with debt to GDP exceeding 180 percent; a banking crisis with depositors unable to access their funds; and a balance of payment crisis with the national currency depreciating by 98 percent. The pillars of the economy (tourism, education, and healthcare) have experienced massive business closures and brain-drain.

Three successive governments have done little to stabilize the situation. The descent continues, now at a slower pace. Even if the economy stabilizes, the current path remains catastrophic for the future. The middle class is disappearing, corporate, human, and financial capital are evaporating, and infrastructure is decaying. The country is at risk of being stuck in a deep poverty trap, despite its rich potential.

In our [recent paper](#), we attempt to advance the policy debate in Lebanon in several directions, with important implications for national and external actors on how to restore stability and initiate a recovery. By focusing on how to maximize the size of the economic pie, we hope that “politics” could become more cooperative for the interest of all. First, because Lebanon's economic problems are complex and not well understood, a necessary condition for solving them is to understand them better. Second, now that it has become clear how costly non-cooperative behavior can be, it becomes even more necessary to advocate cooperative solutions, even as one recognizes that they are difficult to arise in the current fragmented political environment. Finally, to clarify that economic progress is possible, and by so doing, activists need to be encouraged to put pressure for a change in the political system itself.

Causes of the Crisis

While there are many narratives about the cause of the crisis, to us, the most convincing one is the least understood. In our analysis, the root cause of the current crisis lies in the rising overvaluation of the national currency, propped up by a costly defense of a peg for far too long. The post-civil war economic model overstayed its usefulness by two decades because of a lack of decisive policy-making. An early devaluation would have encouraged investment and deflated

government debt, allowing for a more productive use of foreign capital inflows and an early search for a more sustainable growth path, based on productivity and export, rather than on over-consumption and unsustainable credit.


Figure 1 shows the evolution of the current account and the public deficit over time. Enough financing came in to finance a current account deficit that averaged more than 20 percent of GDP over three decades – this must be a global record. Until around 2007, the fiscal deficit absorbed most of the inflows, but after 2000, the fiscal deficit was reduced and capital flows started financing mainly a private deficit. It should be also noted that the debt service was mainly remitted to the domestic private sector, boosting its expenditures even more. For example, in 2018, the current account deficit stood at 25.5 percent of GDP, and it was divided about equally between a public sector deficit (11.1 percent of GDP) and a private sector deficit (14.3 percent of GDP). The private sector deficit itself is made of the difference between a modest investment rate (20.4 percent) and a dismally low private saving rate, at 6.1 percent of GDP. This term also includes imports destined for Syria but not included in re-export data – a recurring problem in Lebanese statistics given a long and porous border.

Since public debt still is an essential part of the problem, it is useful to understand its dynamics. Public debt has been “too high” in Lebanon since the early 2000s, a result of the big infrastructure push of Rafik Hariri. By the time of his assassination in 2005, public debt to GDP stood at 200 percent. The political bet done in 1993 was to fuel a recovery through a reconstruction program financed by debt, gaging on a regional peace that would bring a generous peace dividend to Lebanon. The bet was lost when the peace process died by the mid-1990s. From that point on, the economic strategy has remained on autopilot.

The following five years saw rapid GDP growth rates. Tight budgets and rising fiscal revenues led to several years with primary surpluses, even though fiscal expenditures were badly allocated and focused on the payment of interest on debt, an overstaffing of civil servants, and a large subsidy to the power sector at the expense of public investment in human capital and infrastructure. Primary surpluses and high growth rates led to declines in the debt ratio, which fell to a low of 130 percent of GDP in 2012 – still a dangerously high level by international standards. Figure 2 illustrates the dynamics of the public debt ratio. After 2011, the continuous rise of the debt ratio, reaching 170 percent of GDP in 2018, was entirely driven by a combination of high interest rates and low GDP growth. This occurred




Figure 1. Internal and External Deficits



Sources: World Bank. The annual current account deficit is the sum of the public and private deficits. The red lines represent decadal averages of the current account deficits.

Figure 2. Public Debt Dynamics



Sources: World Bank and WEO. Red line: GDP growth rate, Green line: average interest rate on public debt. Light blue bars: public debt to GDP; deep blue bar: primary deficit to GDP. The LHS measures the debt and deficit to GDP ratio, the RHS axis measures percent change for R and G.

even as the primary deficit had a (small) surplus in the 2010s (+1 percent of GDP on average). The overall deficit kept growing, and the debt ratio grew because of its rising refinancing cost, and the low GDP growth.

The implication is simple, even if it is still hard to accept by a polity and population deeply traumatized by the economic implosion. Now that the bubble has been popped, it is time to accept reality and start the



difficult task of rebuilding the country; after all, better late than never. While the destruction of the old rent-based economy is painful, it also represents a historical opportunity to reconstruct the economy on firmer grounds.

Why is the Crisis so Deep?

We aim to examine the causes that explain how deep the current crisis is. Rarely has GDP fallen by this much (between 40 and 60 percent) during peace times. The main reason for this is the complete closure of the financial system. Cut off completely from credit, firms were unable to shift their production from the collapsing domestic demand to export markets despite a massive devaluation that had improved their competitiveness. In fact, exports were cut in half after 2019.

The central reason why policymakers were unable – or unwilling – to clean up the banking sector rapidly is the huge size of the losses that need to be distributed. To see this, it is useful to look at the balance sheet of “Lebanon Inc” a consolidated picture of the balance sheets of the central bank, the state, and the banking system (Table 1). To make it simple, only dollar denominated assets and liabilities are recorded here since hyperinflation has debased all LBP denominated instruments. On the asset side, there is the gold and the FX reserves held by the BdL, the banks’ (remaining) private sector loan portfolio, and their holding of FX, and public debt. Here we assume that state debt is reduced to USD 20 billion – we do not assume that other national assets are pledged to bail out the financial sector. On the liability side, there are the deposits in the banking system, and the government’s external debt (which we estimate is settled at a discount of 80 percent). Netting out the balance, we reach an estimate for a total loss of around USD 50 billion, which represents around 2.5 times the current GDP. The table assumes that all the equity of banks (on paper, this represents around USD 22 billion) is wiped out. In this conservative scenario, deposits would need to receive a haircut of a bit less than 50 percent, on average (i.e., possibly less for small deposits and more for large deposits, as is usually done for motives of social fairness).

The accumulated financial losses in the end relate not just to the public debt overhang, but also to the central bank’s defense of the peg. The exchange rate policy in Lebanon is the responsibility of the government, but the central bank should have stopped the bleeding earlier by refusing to defend the peg when its own reserves ran out and before it had to start using depositors’ money to do so. The BdL pushed banks to place most of their

Table 1. Balance sheet of Lebanon Inc (end of 2022)

Assets		Liabilities	
BdL: FX Reserves	10	Government Eurobond outside country	4
BDL: Gold	15	FX deposits in banks and other liabilities	111
Banks: Private sector loans	10	Total liabilities	115
Banks FX	10		
Public debt	20	LEBANON INC. EQUITY	-50
Total assets	65		

Source: Authors’ computation based on CB figures.

FX liquidity with it by offering abnormally high interest rates, while it only earned low rates on reserves abroad. It built a large currency mismatch by defending the peg when capital flows were insufficient to finance the current account, and by lending to the state (in LBP). The latest Mikati plan estimates BdL losses at USD 60 billion, with nearly USD 50 billion in FX losses.

The passage of time is not supporting efforts to find a financial resolution, quite the opposite. The main benefit of “doing nothing” is related to the justly decried “Lirafication” process, whereby depositors take part of the loss when they withdraw dollar deposits at exchange rates way lower than market rates. However, we estimate such withdrawals at only around USD three billion. What is noteworthy instead is that over time, BdL spent around USD 30 billion of its reserves financing capital flight and subsidizing imports. In addition, there was a huge fall in the banking sector’s loan portfolio, by around USD 30 billion, benefiting borrowers at the expense of depositors (dollar loans were repaid at the official exchange rate). In retrospect, the “policy freeze” seems to have been due not to a psychological rejection of a harsh reality, but instead to active rent-seeking by parties that use the situation to improve their own interest at the expense of that of the nation.

Since reserves are down to a minimum, and there is not much left to steal, it is now time for a big (political) push to clean up the financial system. The reduction of public debt and the cleaning up of banking balance sheets are necessary conditions for stabilization and recovery. To make it easier (politically) to absorb the huge losses, there should be more creativity in “dressing up” these losses by devising a Lebanon-specific bond with a small haircut in face value, low interest, and a long maturity, in addition to including warrants on various upsides, such as growth, and the discovery of oil and gas. These would also provide incentives for the economic and political elites to improve economic performance.



Three Crises, Not One!

While most of the policy focus has been on the banking crisis, the other two ongoing crises are even harsher: there is an acute social crisis, and there is a collapse of the state. Poverty is estimated to have risen to 50 percent population. The state budget has fallen tenfold. Basic services such as health, education, electricity, or clean water are no longer delivered. While there can be no dynamic economy without a banking sector, there can be no country without a state. In the short term, the three crises compete for financial resources and for political attention, but they are also complementary; lack of progress on any one of them would preclude progress on the recovery goal. As such, the risk of falling into a poverty trap is high unless they are all properly addressed.

The implication is that existing (but scarce) national assets and external support should not all be devoted to the resolution of the financial crisis. Instead, they need to be distributed in a judicious way to support a balanced stabilization, in ways that provide the best chances for a rapid recovery.


A Big Push Is Needed, But It Is Politically Very Challenging

moving rapidly away from their present wounded state. This requires a confidence boost. Future growth does

not require massive state investments or complicated regulation. Lebanon has many comparative advantages, from a skilled population and a connected diaspora to a brand name that is still valuable globally. We believe that if it takes place at all, growth could only come by bubbling up through SME innovation across the territory. The old model of a rentier economy is dead, and a new model is needed. The new model needs to be resilient to the type of shock that has and will continue to rock the country given its geographical situation and its history (Figure 4).


Such a big push might be created by the initiation of the reform of the financial sector, together with sizable external support, allowing inflation to come under control and social tensions to be minimized during the transition to a new growth path. This plan might generate a confidence push that leads to a large appreciation of the national currency, i.e., the billions of dollars circulating in the economy being used for investment and imports rather than as a precautionary store of value. This scenario may sound fanciful in the current depressed environment, but Rafik Hariri managed to rebuild downtown Beirut under more difficult circumstances when militias were still roaming the streets and the country was utterly divided. Ambitious reforms were also implemented in Lebanon during two historical moments: the post-1958 civil war presidency of Fouad Chehab and the post-1975 civil war of Amine Gemayel. In each of these episodes, the cabinets managed to obtain broad legislative power from the Parliament to implement the needed reforms.

Figure 3. National Account As % Shares of 2018 Levels



Source: Authors' computations based on World Bank data. The upper bound is calculated in real LBP term, using inflation data to derive real values. The lower bound uses the market exchange rate to compute a real dollar value.



Figure 4. Growth and Political Instability

Source: World Bank data and authors' computations.

To do so again would require, at minima, a new political dispensation, if not a new political settlement, willing to bet on reforms and development.

The implication is that the stabilization package should be part of a more ambitious platform for national renewal. Signals about the direction of policy will be key. The necessary ingredients include basic security, the rule of law, policies that foster social mobility, and the rehabilitation of basic infrastructure. The goal should be to allow municipalities to compete for attracting SMEs away from places like Dubai, substituting high wages for lower costs and a good life amidst enchanting nature and a vibrant culture.

Can Donors Walk on Two Legs?

Finally, the last innovation of the paper is to propose a new donor strategy. Donors' support will be crucial to generate a big push when the "politics" finally line up to allow this. Over the years, donors have been generous (Figure 5), although Lebanon is as much a donor darling as Jordan. However, repeated bailouts have generated moral hazards and explain why the country had to fall from very high. We recommend, like many in Lebanon, that donors now stick to their conditionality; there should be no more blank cheques that help an ineffective regime survive by kicking the can down the road. The IMF reached a staff-level agreement in April


2022, which includes a list of prior actions that need to be met before a program can go to its board, and these should become tightly enmeshed with the donors' offer of a conditional big push.

However, the list of prior actions represents a minimal platform on which to build a stabilization and recovery national strategy. When the time comes, a three-year typical IMF program would be too short to support a comprehensive national program, given the magnitude of the challenges. A longer, more structured, and more generous program would be needed. In such a program, the focus needs to move away from the current extraordinary level of austerity to a measured expansion of the state, ahead of the recovery of the economy. This would require much closer cooperation between the IMF and the World Bank than occurs typically.

For this conditional big push to be useful, it must be complemented with efforts to keep society from becoming too deeply wounded during the interim. The implication is an important recommendation for donors to develop a more balanced two-leg strategy. To support a first leg heavily leveraged on conditionality, a second leg is needed that organizes humanitarian support more comprehensively around support for basic services. This second leg is required until the (uncertain) time when political progress allows for a deeper reform program to be initiated. Supporting basic services also allows for a quicker macro recovery and reduction of inflation, and



Figure 5. Official Development Assistance for Lebanon



Source: World Bank data.

it gives some space for the state to rebuild its capacity more rapidly, a sine-qua-non for a revival of the country when the time comes.

In Conclusion

The political situation remains dynamic and uncertain, but one could also afford some guarded optimism, at least for the longer term. The political/societal evolution

that has been triggered by the crisis is still ongoing, and it won't be as easy for the establishment to continue to escape their responsibilities and impose their choices in the future. What used to be accepted misbehavior in the past, such as state procurement with no competition, now moves public opinion and elicits strong opposition from civil society.





ERF at a Glance: *The Economic Research Forum (ERF) is a regional network dedicated to promoting high-quality economic research for sustainable development in the Arab countries, Iran and Turkey. Established in 1993, ERF's core objectives are to build a strong research capacity in the region; to encourage the production of independent, high-quality research; and to disseminate research output to a wide and diverse audience. To achieve these objectives, ERF's portfolio of activities includes managing carefully selected regional research initiatives; providing training and mentoring to junior researchers; and disseminating the research findings through seminars, conferences and a variety of publications. The network is headquartered in Egypt but its affiliates come primarily from different countries in the region.*

Contact Information

ERF Office

Address: 21 Al-Sad Al-Aaly St. Dokki, Giza, Egypt

PO Box 12311

Tel: +202 333 18 600 - 603

Fax: +202 333 18 604

Email: erf@erf.org.eg

Website: <http://www.erf.org.eg>

Follow us

