Migrants' access to healthcare in times of Covid-19: Cases of Libya and Sudan IOM Assessments Reports

Talking Points and comments

IOM /ERF Joint webinar series: Covid-19's socio-economic impact on migrants and IDPs in the Middle East and North Africa

Commentator: Dr. Abdelhadi Eltahir, MD, MPH SRHR Consultant

Monday, November 8, 2021

Email: hadieltahir@hotmail.com

Overall

- > IOM / ERF joint effort is excellent initiative addressing and important global and regional priority topic. The results and findings are informative and useful.
- ➤ IOM / UNDP Analytical framework used for assessment of Covid-19 impact on migrants and IDPs in Eastern Sudan and Libya generated valuable and key results that are helpful for program design and implementation.
- > The framework successfully selected "Health" as first Pillar #1.

Specific

- ➤ Slide #10: Ability to access healthcare: Libya yes 62% vs Sudan 64% (miscalculation probably 58%)
- ➤ Slide # 11: Striking and useful findings: Barriers to healthcare:

Affordability Sudan 67% are not able to afford healthcare vs Libya 34%

Discrimination at facilities: Libya 23% vs Sudan 12%

Fear of getting infected: Libya 50% vs Sudan 2%

- ➢ Slide # 12: Covid 19 stigma Realistic cases of Libya migrant from B- Faso, Sudan migrant from Eritrea Stigma is a huge barrier.
- > Slide # 13: 77% of mugrants in Sudan accept vaccination. Need to work on the 23% through awareness, advocacy and reassurance.

Specific

- ➤ Slide #10: Ability to access healthcare: Libya yes 62% vs Sudan 64% (miscalculation probably 58%)
- ➤ Slide # 11: Striking and useful findings: Barriers to healthcare:

Affordability Sudan 67% are not able to afford healthcare vs Libya 34%

Discrimination at facilities: Libya 23% vs Sudan 12%

Fear of getting infected: Libya 50% vs Sudan 2%

- ➢ Slide # 12: Covid 19 stigma Realistic cases of Libya migrant from B- Faso, Sudan migrant from Eritrea Stigma is a huge barrier.
- > Slide # 13: 77% of mugrants in Sudan accept vaccination. Need to work on the 23% through awareness, advocacy and reassurance.

Conclusions and Recommendations

- > Slide #15: Take home message: IOM would continue to collect disaggregated data
- > The two assessments are good build-up toward quality improvement of services for migrants and IDPs.
- > IOM and partners are encouraged to consider addressing the following gaps:
 - 1. Reproductive health related urgent needs (often concealed priorities)
 - 2. Among the mass movements (Refugees and IDPs) there is 25% of the total are women in reproductive age (WRA) of 15 to 49 years.
 - 3. 9% of the WRA are currently pregnant: 5% of them would need C-section.
 - 4. There increase in early marriage often related to economic or financial situation
 - 5. IOM might want to establish multi-disciplinary approach to address and prevent GBV which usually increases in crises situations as well as an impact of Covid-19.

Early marriage (EM) as a harmful practice

EM denies girls their rights to make vital decisions about their sexual health and well-being.

EM forces them:

- 1. out of education
- into a life of poor prospects,
- 3. into a life with an increased risk of violence,
- 4. into a life with an increased risk of abuse,
- 5. into a life with an increased risk of ill health,
- 6. into a life with an increased risk of early death.